ORBIFOLD COMPACTNESS FOR SPACES OF RIEMANNIAN METRICS AND APPLICATIONS

MICHAEL T. ANDERSON

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cheeger-Gromov compactness theorem, cf. [C], [G], [CGv] states that the space of Riemannian n-manifolds (M^n, g) satisfying the bounds

$$|R| \leq \Lambda, \ vol \geq v_0, \ diam \leq D, \tag{1.1}$$

is precompact in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ topology. Here R denotes the Riemann curvature tensor, vol the volume and $diam$ the diameter of (M, g). Thus, for any sequence of metrics g_i on n-manifolds M_i satisfying (1.1), there is a subsequence, also called g_i, and diffeomorphisms $\phi_i : M_\infty \to M_i$ such that the metrics $\phi_i^* g_i$ converge in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ topology to a limit metric g_∞ on M_∞, for any $\alpha < 1$. In particular, there are only finitely many diffeomorphism types of n-manifolds M which admit Riemannian metrics satisfying (1.1). In addition, the convergence is in the weak $L^{2,p}$ topology, and the limit metric g_∞ is $L^{2,p}$, for any $p < \infty$.

While conceptually important, this result is of somewhat limited applicability in itself, since the bound on the full curvature tensor R is very strong and only realizable in special situations. A direct generalization of this result to the more natural situation where one imposes bounds on the Ricci curvature, i.e.

$$|Ric| \leq \lambda, \ vol \geq v_0, \ diam \leq D, \tag{1.2}$$

is false. For example, there are sequences of metrics on the double of the tangent bundle of S^2, i.e. $TS^2 \cup_\partial TS^2$, satisfying (1.2), which converge in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology to a metric on the double of the cone on $\mathbb{RP}^3$, $C(\mathbb{RP}^3) \cup_\partial C(\mathbb{RP}^3)$, cf. [An2]. The limit is not a smooth manifold, but is an orbifold, with two orbifold singular points, (the vertices of the cones).

On the other hand, in dimension 4, it was shown in [An3] that such degeneration to orbifolds (with point singularities) is the only possible degeneration under the bounds (1.2); any sequence g_i of metrics on a fixed 4-manifold M^4 satisfying the bounds (1.2) has a subsequence converging in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology to an orbifold, with a bounded number of singular points. The convergence is $C^{1,\alpha}$ away from singular points. In fact, if one enlarges the class of manifolds to include orbifolds, then the space of orbifold metrics is compact in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ topologies in a natural sense. These orbifold compactness results were first proved for Einstein metrics in [An1] and [BKN], and later generalized to the setting (1.2). Analogous results hold in all dimensions, and with varying manifolds, if one adds a fixed bound on the $L^{n/2}$ norm of the curvature tensor, cf. [An3].

In this paper, we consider orbifold compactness results which replace the apriori bound on the Ricci curvature in (1.2) with other curvature bounds. These results are then applied to prove orbifold compactness theorems for metrics which are critical points of other natural functionals on the space of metrics besides Einstein metrics.

To describe the results, a precise definition of the orbifolds that arise in this context is needed.

Definition. An orbifold V is a topological space which is a smooth manifold off a finite set of singular points $\{q_k\}$. A neighborhood of each singular point is a finite union of cones on spherical space-forms $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$, $\Gamma \subset SO(n)$, where the vertex of each cone is identified with the point q_k. A metric g on V is $C^{m,\alpha}$ if g is $C^{m,\alpha}$ (locally) on $V \setminus \{q_k\}$, and, in a local uniformization $B^n \setminus \{0\}$ of each cone, the metric g extends to a C^0 metric on the ball B^n.

On the one hand, this definition is more restrictive than the definition due to Thurston [Th], which allows for codimension 2 cone-like singular sets. On the other hand, the definition allows for several spherical cones to be joined at a single vertex. An orbifold will be called irreducible if there is exactly one cone at each singular point.

We first describe the main result in general, and then discuss applications to critical metrics. Let $B_x(r)$ denote the geodesic ball of radius r about x and $S_x(r)$ the corresponding geodesic sphere in a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g). For simplicity, all manifolds are assumed to be connected and oriented.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, \nu_0, \delta_0, C_0)$ be the space of closed n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M, g), $n \geq 3$, satisfying the bounds*

$$vol_g M = 1, \int_M |R|^{n/2} dV_g \leq \Lambda, \tag{1.3}$$

together with the following two conditions:
(i). (Non-Collapse). There exists $\nu_0 > 0$ such that

$$vol B_x(r) \geq \nu_0 r^n, \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $r \leq 1$.
(ii) (Small curvature estimate). There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ and $C_0 < \infty$ such that if $(\int_{B_x(r)} |R|^{n/2})^{2/n} \leq \delta_0$, then

$$\sup_{B_x(r/2)} |R| \leq \left(\frac{C_0}{vol B_x(r)} \int_{B_x(r)} |R|^{n/2} dV_g\right)^{2/n}. \tag{1.5}$$

Then for any fixed values of $\Lambda, \nu_0, \delta_0, C_0$ and $\alpha < 1$, the space $\mathcal{M}$ is $C^{1,\alpha}$ orbifold compact, in that any sequence of metrics g_i on n-manifolds M_i satisying the bounds (1.3)-(1.5) has a subsequence converging, modulo diffeomorphisms, to a limit orbifold (V, g), with $C^{1,\alpha}$ metric g_∞. The convergence $(M_i, g_i) \to (V, g)$ is in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, and in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ topology, uniformly on compact subsets of $V_0 = V \setminus \{q_k\}$, where $\{q_k\}$ is the finite set of singular points. In particular, there are smooth embeddings of V_0 into M_i, for i sufficiently large. The limit metric g_∞ is locally in $L^{2,p}$ and the sequence converges uniformly on compact sets in the weak $L^{2,p}$ topology on V_0, for any $p < \infty$.
In addition, there is a bound on the number of orbifold singularities, the number of cones, and the order of local fundamental groups, depending only on Λ, ν_0, δ_0 and C_0.

We make some comments on the hypotheses. The volume bound (1.3) is of course just a normalization of (M, g). The bound (1.4) requires that the volume of balls is not too small compared with the volume of Euclidean balls of the same radius. Without such a hypothesis, the structure of the singular set of limits is much more complicated, and has not been analysed in detail. The local curvature estimate (1.5), as well as the global bound (1.3) are analogues of the curvature bound (1.1) in Gromov's compactness theorem. Both hypotheses can be realized for natural classes of metrics, especially on 4-manifolds. On the other hand, in analogy to (1.2), the bound on $\sup_{B(r/2)} |R|$ in (1.5) can be weakened to a bound on $\sup_{B(r/2)} |Ric|$, cf. Remark 2.11.

It is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 1.1 that there are only finitely many diffeomorphism types of n-manifolds in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda, \nu_0, \delta_0, C_0)$, cf. Remark 2.10. Also, Theorem 1.1 holds if $\mathcal{M}$ is replaced by the corresponding space of orbifolds, cf. Remark 2.12.

There are numerous examples of metrics satisfying the bounds (1.3)-(1.5) which do in fact converge to such orbifold limits; see §2.3 and §3 for further discussion. Although the regular part V_0 of the limit orbifold V embeds in M_i, for i sufficiently large, in general the topology of the complement $M \setminus V_0$ can be arbitrary, at least if Λ in (1.3) is sufficiently large, cf. Remark 2.9. Note that when $n = dim M$ is odd, there are no orientable $(n-1)$-dimensional spherical space forms except S^{n-1}. Hence, all cones at singular points are balls B^n. Even if the limit V is a smooth manifold M_∞, and M_i is diffeomorphic to M_∞, the convergence of the metrics g_i may not be smooth, i.e. $C^{1,\alpha}$, near the points q_k.

Suppose that one adds the bound

$$vol B_x(r) \leq V_0 r^n, \forall x \in M, r \leq 1, \tag{1.6}$$

for some $V_0 < \infty$ to the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Then the result follows rather easily from the proof of orbifold compactness under the bounds (1.2) in [An3]; the main point is that the bounds (1.2) imply the bound (1.6) via the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem. The proof of Theorem 1.1 when (1.6) holds is given in §2.1.

With this understood, the main issue in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to prove that (1.6) follows from the bounds (1.3)-(1.5). To do this, we need the following minor variation of standard definitions of asymptotically locally Euclidean spaces.

Definition. A complete Riemannian n-manifold (N, g) is ALE (asymptotically locally Euclidean) if there is compact set $K \subset N$ such that each component E_j, $j = 1, ..., \kappa$ of $N \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B)/\Gamma_j$, where B is the unit ball about $\{0\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and Γ_j is a finite subgroup of $SO(n)$, acting isometrically on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Further, there is a chart $\Phi : \widetilde{E}_j \to \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B$ in which the metric $\widetilde{g} = \Phi^* g$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{g}_{ab} = \delta_{ab} + o(1), \tag{1.7}$$
$$|\partial \widetilde{g}_{ab}|_{C^\alpha} = O(r^{-1-\alpha}),$$

for all $\alpha < 1$.

The following characterization of ALE spaces is then the main tool used to establish (1.6).

Theorem 1.2. *Let (N, g) be a complete, non-compact Riemannian n-manifold, $n \geq 3$, satisfying*

$$vol B_x(r) \geq \nu_0 r^n, \tag{1.8}$$

for some $\nu_0 > 0$ and all $x \in N, r < \infty$. Suppose also that

$$\int_N |R|^{n/2} dV \leq \Lambda < \infty, \tag{1.9}$$

and that the small curvature estimate (1.5) holds, for some given δ_0, C_0.

Then (N, g) is ALE, and the number κ of ends is bounded by ν_0, Λ, δ_0 and C_0. Further, there is a constant V_0, depending only on ν_0, Λ, δ_0 and C_0, such that for all x and r,

$$vol B_x(r) \leq V_0 r^n. \tag{1.10}$$

The asymptotic volume ratio is given by

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{vol B_x(r)}{r^n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \frac{\omega_n}{|\Gamma_j|}, \tag{1.11}$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in §2.2. The fact that Theorem 1.2 implies (1.6) and thus the remainder of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in §2.3.

Next we state some applications of Theorem 1.1 to special classes of Riemannian metrics, particularly on 4-manifolds. As perhaps the main example, consider the L^2 norm of the Weyl curvature as a functional on the space of metrics on a 4-manifold $M = M^4$:

$$\mathcal{W}(g) = \int_M |W_g|^2 dV_g. \tag{1.12}$$

Critical points of this functional are Bach-flat metrics, i.e. metrics satisfying the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\delta\delta W + \tfrac{1}{2}W(Ric) = 0,$$

where $W(\cdot)$ denotes the action of W on symmetric bilinear forms. Via the Bianchi identity, this is equivalent to

$$\delta d(Ric - \frac{s}{6}g) - W(Ric) = 0. \tag{1.13}$$

The functional $\mathcal{W}$ is conformally invariant, and so one needs to choose a representative of the conformal class. For the purposes here, it is most useful to choose a Yamabe representative, i.e. a metric γ of constant scalar curvature minimizing the Yamabe functional in conformal class $[\gamma]$; (the fact that γ may not be unique is of no consequence).

Well-known examples of Bach-flat metrics on 4-manifolds are metrics conformal to Einstein metrics, conformally flat metrics, and half-conformally flat metrics, i.e self-dual or anti-selfdual metrics, for which W^- or $W^+ = 0$, respectively. The analogue of Theorem 1.1 in this context is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a fixed closed 4-manifold. The space $\mathcal{C}^+$ of Bach-flat unit volume Yamabe metrics on M, for which the scalar curvature s of g satisfies*

$$s_g \geq s_0 > 0, \tag{1.14}$$

and the L^2 norm of the Weyl curvature satisfies

$$\int_M |W|^2 \leq \Lambda, \tag{1.15}$$

for some $s_0 > 0$ and $\Lambda < \infty$, is orbifold precompact in the C^∞ topology.

We note that since Bach-flat metrics are critical for $\mathcal{W}$, the functional $\mathcal{W}$ is constant on connected components of moduli spaces of Bach-flat metrics. This result is the exact analogue of a result proved for Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds in [An1], [BKN]. Further discussion regarding Theorem 1.3, and related results for critical points of other natural curvature functionals on the space of metrics are proved in §3. For a selection of recent work related to the issues of this paper, see for instance, [Ak], [CH], [CQY], [Lo], [PT], and [TV1,2].

Finally we should include a comment on the history of this work. In analogy to the results established for Einstein metrics, G. Tian suggested to the author in 1993 that Theorem 1.3 might be true, and proposed working jointly on this project. Rather quickly, we realized that the main obstacle to carrying this out was to establish some version of Theorem 1.2. By early 1995, the author had developed the basic ideas leading to a proof of (a version of) Theorem 1.2 and we had agreed that the arguments were in place to begin and complete a manuscript on the work. Unfortunately, both Tian and the author became involved with other, more pressing, projects and the work just lingered without further attention.

With the recent appearance of [TV1], the author decided it was time to complete his ideas and approach to the problem, resulting in this paper. The results of [TV1] and [TV2] are related, but not identical to the results proved here.

While the overall strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.2, (and the other results above), is the same as that developed by the author in 1994-95, more recent results on the structure of the cut locus of Riemannian manifolds have been incorporated, allowing for a simplification of certain technical aspects of the proof.

I would like to thank Gang Tian for suggesting that Theorem 1.3 might be true, and for our early collaboration on the project. My thanks also to John Lott and Marc Herzlich for remarks and correspondence which helped to clarify the exposition of the paper.

2. General Orbifold Compactness.

This section is concerned with the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In §2.1, we discuss the proof of Theorem 1.1 in case the bound (1.6) holds, i.e.

$$vol B_x(r) \leq V_0 r^n, r \leq 1, \tag{2.1}$$

for some (arbitrary) constant $V_0 < \infty$. The proof in this case is essentially identical to that of [An1], to which we refer for some further details, if needed. Following this, we explain which parts of this proof generalize to the case where (2.1) is not assumed, and indicate why Theorem 1.1 should then follow from Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.2 is proved in §2.2, while the proof of Theorem 1.1 is then quite easily completed in §2.3.

§2.1. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1 in case (2.1) holds. Thus, let (M_i, g_i) be any sequence of closed Riemannian n-manifolds satisfying (1.3)-(1.5) and (2.1). The volume bounds (1.4) and (2.1) imply a uniform upper bound $N(r/2, r)$ on the number of disjoint geodesic balls of radius $r/2$ in any r-ball, depending only on ν_0, V_0. Any maximal collection of disjoint balls of radius $1/2$ in (M_i, g_i) gives a covering of (M_i, g_i) by balls of radius 1. Hence, the volume bounds imply an upper bound on the cardinality of such a collection of balls, and consequently, one has a uniform upper bound on the diameter:

$$diam(M_i, g_i) \leq D = D(\nu_0, V_0). \tag{2.2}$$

Since one also has a uniform bound on the number $N(\varepsilon, R)$ of disjoint ε-balls in any R-ball of (M_i, g_i), Gromov's weak compactness theorem [G] implies that a subsequence of (M_i, g_i) converges in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology to a complete length space (X, d_∞). Thus we need to examine the structure of (X, g_∞), and improve the convergence to the limit.

For δ_0 as in (1.5), and any given $r > 0$, let $\{x_k\}$ be a maximal $r/2$ separated set, (depending on i), in (M_i, g_i). Thus, the geodesic balls $B_{x_k}(\frac{r}{2})$ are disjoint while the balls $B_{x_k}(r)$ cover M_i. (The choice of $\{x_k\}$ is of course not unique, but this is of no concern here). Let

$$G_i^r = \cup\{B_{x_k}(r) : \int_{B_{x_k}(2r)} |R|^{n/2} dV < \delta_0\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $R = R_{g_i}$ is the curvature tensor, and similarly, let

$$B_i^r = \cup\{B_{x_k}(r) : \int_{B_{x_k}(2r)} |R|^{n/2} dV \geq \delta_0\}. \tag{2.4}$$

All quantities here are with respect to (M_i, g_i). Hence for each i, $M_i = G_i^r \cup B_i^r$. Observe that there is uniform bound on the number Q_i^r of r-balls in B_i^r :

$$Q_i^r \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\delta_0}, \tag{2.5}$$

independent of i, r. Further, exactly as in the proof of (2.2), by (1.4) and (2.1) there is a uniform bound on the intrinsic diameter of the geodesic annuli $A_x(\frac{1}{2}r, 2r)$,

$$diam A_x(\frac{1}{2}r, 2r) \leq Dr, \tag{2.6}$$

at arbitrary base points $x \in (M_i, g_i)$, with $D = D(\nu, V_0)$. For the same reasons, there is a uniform upper bound on the number of components of $A_x(\frac{1}{2}r, 2r)$. By (2.1) and (2.5), the total volume of B_i^r satisfies $vol B_i^r \leq V_0 Q(2r)^n$, and so is small when r is small. Hence, most of the volume of (M_i, g_i) is contained in G_i^r.

The small curvature estimate (1.5) implies a uniform curvature bound on G_i^r :

$$|R| \leq Cr^{-2} \quad \text{on} \quad G_i^r. \tag{2.7}$$

In fact (2.7) holds on the $r/2$ thickening of G_i^r. It then follows from a local version of the smooth (i.e. $C^{1,\alpha} \cap L^{2,p}$) Gromov compactness theorem, cf. [An1] or [An3] for example, that for any given $r > 0$, a subsequence of G_i^r converges in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ topologies to a limit manifold G_∞^r with limit $C^{1,\alpha} \cap L^{2,p}$ metric g_∞^r. In particular, G_∞^r and G_i^r are diffeomorphic, for i large, and there exist smooth embeddings $F_i^r : G_\infty^r \to G_i^r \subset M_i$ such that $(F_i^r)^*(g_i)$ converges in $C^{1,\alpha}$ to g_∞^r.

Now choose a sequence $r_j \to 0$, with $r_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2}r_j$, and perform the above construction for each j. Let $G_i(r_m) = \{x \in (M_i, g_i) : x \in G_i^j, \text{for some } j \leq m\}$, so that one has inclusions

$$G_i(r_1) \subset G_i(r_2) \subset ... \subset M_i$$

By the argument above, each $G_i(r_m) \subset (M_i, g_i)$, for m fixed, has a subsequence converging smoothly, i.e. in $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$, to a limit $G_\infty(r_m)$. Clearly $G_\infty(r_m) \subset G_\infty(r_{m+1})$ and we set

$$G = \cup_1^\infty G(r_m), \tag{2.8}$$

with the induced metric g_∞. Thus, (G_∞, g_∞) is $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $L^{2,p}$ smooth and for any m, there are smooth embeddings $F_i^m : G(r_m) \to M_i$, for i sufficiently large, such that $(F_i^m)^*(g_i)$ converges in $C^{1,\alpha}$ to the metric g_∞.

Let $\bar{G}$ be the metric completion of G w.r.t. g_∞. Then there is a finite set of points q_k, $k = 1, ..., Q$, such that

$$\bar{G} = G \cup \{q_k\}. \tag{2.9}$$

This follows since there is a uniform upper bound (2.5) on the cardinality of B_i^r, for all r small, and all i, independent of r, i. It is then easy to see that a subsequence of (M_i, g_i) converges to the length space $(\bar{G}, g_\infty)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, so that $X = \bar{G}$. Moreover, by the construction of G, for i sufficiently large, and for any compact domain $K \subset G$, there are embeddings $F_i : K \to M_i$ such that $F_i^* g_i$ converges to g_∞ in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ topologies on K. Thus, g_∞ is locally in $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $L^{2,p}$.

It remains to prove that $\bar{G}$ is an orbifold, with orbifold singular points $\{q_k\}$, i.e. $\bar{G} = V$. This follows by an analysis of the tangent cone of the limit metric g_∞ near each q_k, i.e. by a blow-up analysis. The curvature of G is locally bounded in L^p, for any $p < \infty$. Further, by lower semi-continuity of the norm under weak convergence, the $L^{n/2}$ norm of the curvature on (G, g_∞) is globally bounded:

$$\int_G |R|^{n/2} dV \leq \Lambda. \tag{2.10}$$

In particular, for any $q = q_k \in \bar{G}$,

$$\int_{A_q(\frac{1}{2}r, 2r)} |R|^{n/2} dV \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 0. \tag{2.11}$$

6

This, together with the estimate (1.5) implies that on the limit (G, g_∞),

$$(\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{A_q(\frac{1}{2}r, 2r)} |R|^p dV)^{1/p} << r^{-2}. \tag{2.12}$$

Observe that (2.10)-(2.12) are scale-invariant.

Let $s_j = 2^{-j}$, for j large, and rescale the metric g_∞ on G near q by s_j^{-2}, i.e. consider the metrics $\bar{g}_j = s_j^{-2} \cdot g_\infty$. The volume bounds (1.4) and (2.1), together with the curvature bound (2.12) imply, via the local $C^{1,\alpha} \cap L^{2,p}$ Gromov compactness theorem, that a subsequence of $(G \backslash q, \bar{g}_j, q)$ converges, modulo diffeomorphisms, and in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ topologies, to a flat limit $(T^\infty, \bar{g}^\infty)$. Using the diameter and volume bounds (2.6) and (2.1), it is straightforward to show that T^∞ has a bounded number of components and the metric completion $\bar{T}^\infty$ of each component of T^∞ has a single isolated singularity $\{0\}$. Thus, $\bar{T}^\infty$ is a finite collection of complete flat manifolds joined at a single isolated singularity $\{0\}$. From this, it follows also easily that $\bar{T}^\infty$ is isometric to a union of flat cones $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma_j)$. By the smooth convergence, this (unique) structure on the limit is equivalent to the structure of (G, g_∞) on small scales near the singular point q, and shows that q is an orbifold singularity; see [An1] for further details.

The remaining parts of Theorem 1.1 are now also easily established. Thus, the uniform bound on Q_i^r in (2.5) gives a uniform bound on the number Q of singular points in (V, g_∞). The lower bound (1.4) on the local volumes implies a lower bound on the local volumes of each spherical cone $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma_j)$, which gives a uniform upper bound on the order of each local fundamental group Γ_j. The upper volume bound (2.1) then gives a uniform bound on the number of cones joined at any singular point $q \in V$.

As shown above, the limit metric g_∞ is locally $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $L^{2,p}$ on $V_0 = V \setminus \{q_k\}$. A punctured neighborhood of any $q = q_k$ has a finite cover diffeomorphic to a finite collection of punctured balls $B^n \setminus \{0\}$. The tangent cone analysis above shows that the metric becomes Euclidean to 0th order at $\{0\}$, so that the metric g_∞ has a C^0 extension over $\{0\}$ on each ball. (It is not to be expected in general that g_∞ has a smooth extension across $\{0\}$).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in case (2.1) holds. ∎

Remark 2.1. If one has a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of (M_i, g_i), $Ric_{g_i} \geq \lambda g_i$, for some $\lambda > -\infty$, then the limit orbifold (V, g_∞) is irreducible, so that $V \setminus q_k$ is locally connected for all k. This is proved in [An1] by means of the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem, [CGl]. Without such a lower bound on Ric, the number of cones may be greater than one, although it is necessarily finite.

(ii). If $x_i \in (M_i, g_i)$ is any (generic) sequence of points such that $x_i \to q$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, then the curvature of (M_i, g_i) blows up, i.e. diverges to infinity, as $i \to \infty$. If one rescales the metrics g_i so that the curvature remains bounded near x_i, then a subsequence converges to a complete ALE space (N, g^∞), i.e. a complete manifold, or more generally, a complete orbifold, with a finite number of ends, each ALE. Blowing this limit (N, g^∞) down, i.e. rescaling g^∞ by factors converging to 0, gives a union of spherical cones joined at a single vertex $\{0\}$.

Now the curvature of (M_i, g_i) may diverge to infinity at a number of different scales near any singular point q, giving rise to a collection of such ALE spaces associated with each scale. This gives rise to a so-called "bubble-tree" of ALE spaces and scales. The structure of the limit orbifold (V, g_∞) near any singular point q is recaptured by the structure at infinity of the complete ALE orbifold corresponding to the *smallest* rate at which the curvature of (M_i, g_i) diverges to infinity near q; this corresponds to the largest distance scale, since the curvature scale corresponds to the inverse square of the distance scale. For full details on the structure of such bubble trees and their relation with the orbifold limit, see [B] and [AC]; see also Remark 2.10 for further analysis.

Consider now the proof of Theorem 1.1 without the assumption (2.1). In this circumstance, there is no uniform bound $N(r/2, r)$ on the number of $r/2$ balls in an r-ball. However, one can define the sets G_i^r and B_i^r in (2.3)-(2.4) in exactly the same way, and again the cardinality of $\{B_i^r\}$ is uniformly bounded, i.e. (2.5) holds. Hence, as $r \to 0$, $\{B_i^r\}$ converges to finite number of points, (in a subsequence). On G_i^r, one has uniform curvature bounds (2.7), depending only on r. Standard comparison geometry then gives a uniform upper bound on the local volume ratios of geodesic balls contained in G_i^r. Hence, one can pass to a limit G, (in a subsequence), as in (2.8) just as before.

As in (2.9), let $\bar{G}$ be the metric closure of G. We note however that without the bound (2.1), it is apriori possible that $\bar{G} = G = \{pt\}$; it could happen that all of the volume and curvature may concentrate in very small regions about a given point, see for example [Ak].

We will prove that the bound (2.1) does in fact hold on (M_i, g_i) by using a blow-up rescaling argument, as discussed in Remark 2.1(ii) with regard to the structure of the singularities of V. To do this however requires the characterization of ALE spaces given by Theorem 1.2.

§2.2. This subsection is concerned with the proof of Theorem 1.2. Just as with Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 is comparatively easy to prove if one assumes an upper bound on the volume growth as in (2.1), i.e.

$$volB_{x_0}(r) \le \mathcal{V}r^n, \tag{2.13}$$

for some fixed base point x_0 and $\mathcal{V} < \infty$, cf. [An1,Thm.3.5]. On the other hand, the proof to follow is essentially a generalization of the proof in case (2.13) holds.

We first prove the following special case of Theorem 1.2. This serves a basis for the more general result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (N, g) be a complete, non-compact smooth Riemannian n-manifold, $n \ge 3$, satisfying*

$$volB_x(r) \ge \nu_o r^n, \tag{2.14}$$

for some $\nu_o > 0$ and all $x \in N$. Suppose in addition that there is a point x_0 and a non-increasing function $\varepsilon(r) : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$, with $\varepsilon(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} \varepsilon(r) = 0$, such that

$$|R|(r) \le \frac{\varepsilon(r)}{1 + r^2}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $|R|(r) = \sup_{x \in S_{x_0}(r)} |R|(x)$.

Then (N, g) is ALE. Further, the number κ of ends of N and the maximal volume ratio $V_0 = \sup_r \frac{volB_x(r)}{r^n}$ are bounded by the function $\varepsilon(r)$. The asymptotic volume ratio is given by

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{volB_x(r)}{r^n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \frac{\omega_n}{|\Gamma_k|}, \tag{2.16}$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.2 does not hold in dimension 2. Consider for example the following warped product metric on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$g = dr^2 + f^2(r)d\theta^2,$$

where, for large r, $f(r) = r\ln r$, (for example). A simple calculation shows that the curvature of g satisfies (2.15), while the volume growth satisfies (2.14). However, this metric is not ALE. In terms of the proof to follow below, the tangent cone at infinity is of the form of the universal cover $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0})$ of $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0)$, which is not a cone on a spherical space-form; (it could be viewed as a cone with infinite cone angle). This situation is possible because $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ is not simply connected. More importantly in the context of the proof below, the circle S^1 has no intrinsic curvature, whereas

spheres S^{n-1} have non-trivial intrinsic curvature when $n \geq 3$. Note that in higher dimensions, one can form flat manifolds by taking products, $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ for example.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: For clarity, we divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. (Comparison Geometry Estimates).
We begin with some standard estimates from comparison geometry. Fix the base point x_0, and let $r(x) = dist(x, x_0)$. Let $S(r)$ denote the geodesic sphere of radius r about x_0. By using comparison with a rotationally symmetric metric whose curvature satisfies the bound (2.15), one has the following well-known Hessian and Laplacian comparison estimates for r:

$$D^2 r \leq \frac{1 + \mu(r)}{r} \hat{g}, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\Delta r \leq \frac{n - 1 + \mu(r)}{r}, \tag{2.18}$$

where $\mu(r)$ depends only on $\varepsilon(r)$ and $\mu(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. Here $D^2 r$ is the Hessian and $\Delta r = tr D^2 r$ is the Laplacian. The distance function r is globally Lipschitz, with Lipschitz constant 1. However, it is not smooth on the cutlocus of x_0. Off the cutlocus (2.17)-(2.18) hold in the usual sense, since the metric g and function r are smooth there. At such smooth points, $D^2 r$ is the 2nd fundamental form A of the geodesic sphere $S(r)$, while Δr is the mean curvature H of $S(r)$. However, the estimates (2.17)-(2.18) hold globally when $D^2 r$ and Δr are understood in a weak sense, either in the sense of support functions or as distributions; see for instance [Ka] for full details. Here $\hat{g}$ in (2.17) is given by $\hat{g}(X, X) = |X|^2 - \langle X, \sigma' \rangle^2$, where σ is any geodesic from x_0 to $\sigma(r)$; see also [BL] for another definition of $D^2 r$ on most of the cut locus. (The exact form of (2.17) on the cut locus will not be used however). Well-known analogues of (2.18) appear in the proof of the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem [CGl] and Gromov's extension of the Bishop volume comparsion theorem past the cut locus, [G].

We also point out the obvious but important fact that the products $rD^2 r$ and $r\Delta r$ are scale-invariant.

For $r > 0$, let $v(r) = vol S(r) > 0$, where vol denotes the $(n-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ induced by the metric g.

Lemma 2.4. *The function $v(r)$ is well-defined, for all $r > 0$. If r_i is an increasing sequence with $r_i \to r < \infty$, then*

$$v(r) \leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} v(r_i). \tag{2.19}$$

Moreover, let $\frac{d}{dr}^+ v(r) = \limsup_{\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon \to 0} \frac{v(r + \varepsilon) - v(r)}{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dr}^+ v(r) \leq \frac{n - 1 + \mu(r)}{r} v(r). \tag{2.20}$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the cutlocus of x_0 in (M, g). By [BL], off a set X with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = 0$, $\mathcal{C}$ is locally a smooth hypersurface, i.e. every point $x \in \mathcal{C} \setminus X$ has a neighborhood N_x such that $N_x \cap \mathcal{C} = H_x$, where H_x is a smooth hypersurface in M. Points $y \in H_x$ are characterized by the fact that there exist exactly two minimal geodesics from x_0 to y, neither of which has a conjugate point at y. Since $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = 0$, X will be ignored in the following. Let H be the hypersurface given by the union of the local $(n-1)$-manifolds H_x and let $S^{reg}(r)$ denote the regular set of $S(r)$, so that $S(r) = S^{reg}(r) \cup (H \cap S(r))$ up to a set of $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-measure 0. By [IT, Thm. B], $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is finite on compact subsets of M, and hence the same holds for the (relatively) open subset $H \subset \mathcal{C}$. Since $H \cap S(r)$ is a closed and bounded subset of H, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(H \cap S(r))$ is finite, for all r. Hence $v(r)$

9

is defined for all r. Moreover, for all r except a closed set of Hausdorff dimension 0 in $\mathbb{R}$, $H \cap S(r)$ is of $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-measure 0; for such generic r,

$$v(r) = vol S^{reg}(r). \tag{2.21}$$

An r satisfying (2.21) will be called regular.

The property (2.19) corresponds to a well-known property of the cutlocus. Since any $x \in S(r)$ is the endpoint of a collection of minimal geodesics σ_x from x_0, and since such geodesics are unique up to their endpoints at any distance $r - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows that $S(r)$ is the Hausdorff limit of $S^{reg}(r - \varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Any domain $U \subset S^{reg}(r)$ is the smooth limit of (essentially unique) domains $U_\varepsilon \subset S^{reg}(r - \varepsilon)$, while for any given component C of $H \cap S(r)$, there are exactly two disjoint domains $C^\pm(r - \varepsilon) \subset S^{reg}(r - \varepsilon)$ converging smoothly to C as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In other words, the limit C is doubly covered. These properties imply (2.19).

To prove (2.20), suppose first that r is non-regular, so that $H \cap S(r) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $v(r) > vol S^{reg}(r)$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(H) < \infty$ implies that, for ε sufficiently small, $v(r + \varepsilon) - v(r) \leq 0$. Thus, (2.20) holds in this case.

Assuming then that r is regular, we first observe that

$$\int_{A(r,r+\varepsilon)} \Delta r \geq v(r + \varepsilon) - v(r). \tag{2.22}$$

To see this, one applies the divergence theorem to a smooth domain $U_\delta \subset A(r, r+\varepsilon)$ approximating $A(r, r + \varepsilon)$ with $U_\delta \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. The boundary terms approximate integrals over the inner and outer boundaries $S(r + \varepsilon)$ and $S(r)$ of $A(r, r + \varepsilon)$ and the cut locus in the interior. The same argument as above establishing (2.19) shows that the outer boundary integral dominates $v(r + \varepsilon)$, (because of the double covering of $H \cap S(r)$), and that the boundary term in the interior approximating the cut locus is non-negative. (This argument is the same as that used in the proof of the splitting theorem for Ricci curvature, [CGl]). On the other hand, since r is regular, (2.21) holds and the inner boundary integral converges to $v(r)$.

Using (2.22) together with (2.18) gives

$$\frac{n - 1 + \mu(r)}{r} \sup_{[r,r+\varepsilon]} v(s) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(v(r + \varepsilon) - v(r)).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and using the fact that r is regular again gives (2.20). ∎

By integrating (2.20) w.r.t. r, one obtains

$$\frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}} \leq C r^{\delta(r)}, \text{ and } \frac{v(s)}{s^{n-1}} \leq 2^{\delta(r)} \frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}}, s \in [r, 2r], \tag{2.23}$$

where $\delta(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. In fact, rewriting (2.20) in the form $\frac{d^+}{dr}(v/r^{n-1}) \leq (v/r^{n-1})(\varepsilon(r)/r)$, it then follows that

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{d^+}{dr} \frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}} \leq 0. \tag{2.24}$$

These global statements on the volume growth are thus simple consequences of the infinitesimal estimate (2.18). We will also need corresponding local estimates on the volume growth. Thus, for any given $r_0 > 1$, let $D(r_0)$ be any domain in $S(r_0)$ and let $D(r) \subset S(r)$ be defined as

$$D(r) = \{x \in S(r) : dist(x, D(r)) \leq r - r_0\}, \tag{2.25}$$

for $r \geq r_0$. If $r \leq r_0$, $D(r)$ is defined in the same way, with $|r - r_0|$ in place of $r - r_0$. Then the same argument as above gives

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{d^+}{dr} \frac{vol D(r)}{r^{n-1}} \leq 0. \tag{2.26}$$

The estimates (2.17)-(2.18) and hence (2.24)-(2.26) require only a lower bound on the curvature, i.e. follow from the bound

$$(K_{\min})(r) \geq -\frac{\mu(r)}{r^2},$$

where $(K_{\min})(r)$ is the minimal value of the sectional curvatures of (N, g) on $S(r)$. From the upper bound on the curvature, i.e. $(K_{\max})(r) \leq \frac{\mu(r)}{r^2}$, one obtains the following: suppose $x \in S(r)$ is not in the cutlocus of x_0, so that there is a unique geodesic σ from x_0 to x. The geodesic σ has length r. Suppose moreover that $\sigma(t) \in S(t)$ is not in the cutlocus of x_0 for any $t \leq 2r$, (or $t \leq (1 + \delta)r$, for some $\delta > 0$). Then at such x,

$$A = D^2 r \geq \frac{1 - \mu(r)}{r} \hat{g}, \tag{2.27}$$

$$H = \Delta r \geq \frac{n - 1 - \mu(r)}{r},$$

(where $\mu(r)$ also depends on δ). These estimates will however not hold, in any generalized sense, at the cutlocus.

Remark 2.5. Suppose the manifold (N, g) has a pole, i.e. a point, say x_0, at which the exponential map is a diffeomorphism. Then the geodesic spheres $S(r)$ are connected, smooth manifolds, for all r. Consider the rescaled Riemannian metrics $g_r = r^{-2} g_{S(r)}$ on $S(r)$. From (2.17), (2.27) and the Gauss equations for the hypersurface $S(r) \subset N$, one has

$$|K_{g_r} - 1| = \mu(r) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty,$$

where K_{g_r} is the intrinsic sectional curvature of $(S(r), g_r)$.

Thus, the geodesic spheres, when rescaled to unit radius, have curvature uniformly converging to 1. Hence, there is a uniform upper bound on their volume and diameter, by Myer's theorem. Translating this statement back to the unscaled metric g, it follows that $\limsup_{r \to \infty} vol S(r)/r^{n-1} \leq \sigma_{n-1}$, where σ_{n-1} is the volume of the Euclidean $(n - 1)$-sphere of radius 1. Integrating this inequality, it follows that (2.13) holds. In particular, it follows that (N, g) is ALE, in fact (N, g) is AE, (asymptotically Euclidean). Note that this proof requires $n \geq 3$; for $n = 2$ the spheres are circles, and so have no intrinsic curvature, as in Remark 2.3. Note also this argument does not require a lower bound on the volume growth (2.14).

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is a generalization of this kind of argument to the situation where there are no poles.

This simple argument is due to Gromov, (unpublished), and gives rather simple proofs of results of Siu-Yau [SY] and Greene-Wu [GW] for instance.

Step 2. Tangent cones at infinity.

Let r_k be any sequence diverging to infinity, and consider the geodesic annuli $A_k = A_k(m) = A_k(m^{-1} r_k, m r_k)$ about x_0, for some arbitrary but fixed $1 < m < \infty$. We rescale the metric g on A_k by setting

$$g_k = r_k^{-2} \cdot g. \tag{2.28}$$

All distances of g are contracted by the factor r_k^{-1} w.r.t. g_k, so that (A_k, g_k) is a geodesic annulus of width (m^{-1}, m). The curvature bound (2.15) implies that, w.r.t. g_k,

$$|R_{g_k}|(x) \leq \varepsilon(r_k), \tag{2.29}$$

for all $x \in A_k$, with $\varepsilon(r_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Further, the lower volume bound (2.14) implies that

$$vol B_{x_k}(s) \geq \nu_o s^n, \tag{2.30}$$

for all balls $B_{x_k}(s) \subset (A_k, g_k)$.

It follows from (the local $C^{1,\alpha} \cap L^{2,p}$ version of) the Gromov compactness theorem, cf. [An1], [An3], that a subsequence of the Riemannian manifolds (A_k, g_k, x_k), at any base point sequence x_k with $r(x_k) = r_k$, converges, (modulo diffeomorphisms), in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ topologies, to a $C^{1,\alpha} \cap L^{2,p}$ limit Riemannian manifold $(A_\infty, g_\infty, x_\infty)$. By definition, A_∞ is connected, containing the base point $x_\infty = \lim x_k$ and of course $A_\infty = A_\infty(m)$. On the limit (A_∞, g_∞), the curvature is well-defined in L^p. Since the L^p norm of the curvature is lower semi-continuous, (2.29) implies that the limit (A_∞, g_∞) is flat. In particular, the limit is C^∞ smooth.

Next, choose a sequence $m_j \to \infty$, and perform the analysis above for each m_j. It follows that a diagonal subsequence of the double sequence $(A_k(m_j), g_k, x_k)$ converges to a maximal connected limit $(T_\infty, g_\infty, x_\infty)$. Such limits T_∞ are called *tangent cones at infinity*, (from terminology originating in the theory of minimal varieties), although apriori they need not be cones. The arguments above prove that all tangent cones at infinity of (N, g) are flat.

Each tangent cone T_∞ has a distinguished distance function $\rho = r_\infty$ given as follows. For $r(x)$ as above, let $r_k(x) = r_k^{-1} \cdot r(x)$. Then $r_k(x_k) = 1$, and r_k is a distance function on A_k. The functions r_k are Lipschitz, with Lipschitz constant 1. In the subsequence above, the sequence $\{r_k\}$ converges, in the Lipschitz topology, to the limit distance function $r_\infty = \rho$ on T_∞. By construction, ρ takes on all values in $(0, \infty)$ on T_∞.

The level sets $S(t) = \{x \in T_\infty : \rho(x) = t\}$ of ρ are called the geodesic spheres of T_∞. Thus, $S(t)$ is obtained by taking a limit of the rescaling of $S(tr_k)$ by r_k^{-1}. Observe that in general only part of $(S(tr_k), g_k)$ will limit on $S(t) \subset T_\infty$; other parts may be at infinite distance to T_∞. Further, $S(t)$ is not necessarily connected, and the number of components may change with t. Observe also that the base point x_∞ of T_∞ lies in $S(1)$.

Step 3. Geometry of Tangent Cones.

All tangent cones T_∞ are flat manifolds, possibly with singularities at the metric boundary ∂T_∞. Neighborhoods of the boundary ∂T_∞ are given by the balls $B(\varepsilon) = \{x : \rho(x) < \varepsilon\}$. From standard scaling properties, the estimates (2.17)-(2.18) translate to

$$D^2 \rho \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \hat{g}, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\Delta \rho \leq \frac{n-1}{\rho}, \tag{2.32}$$

where again (2.31)-(2.32) are understood to hold in the sense of support functions or distributions at cut points of ρ.

In particular, for any bounded domain $D \subset S(1) \subset T_\infty$ and associated $D(t) \subset S(t)$ as in (2.25), one has, as in (2.20),

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} \frac{vol D(t)}{t^{n-1}} \leq 0, \tag{2.33}$$

By Lemma 2.4, for a bounded domain D, $vol D(t) \subset S(1)$ is finite.

Now return for the moment to the original manifold (N, g). For any given R large, decompose $S(R)$ into a collection of connected subdomains as follows. Let $C_x(s) = \{y \in S(R) : dist_{A(R-\varepsilon, R+\varepsilon)}(y, x) < s\}$, where the distance is taken in the annulus $A(R-\varepsilon, R+\varepsilon)$ about x_0; here $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(R) < 10^{-3}$ is taken sufficently small so that the number of components of $A(R - \varepsilon, R + \varepsilon)$ equals the number of components of $S(R)$. If x and x' are in different components of $S(R)$, (and hence in different components of $A(R - \varepsilon, R + \varepsilon)$), define as usual $dist_{A(R-\varepsilon, R+\varepsilon)}(x, x') = \infty$.

We may then cover $S(R)$ by a finite collection of connected closed sets D_i, with disjoint interiors, such that

$$C_{x_i}(2\pi R) \subset D_i \subset C_{x_i}(4\pi R). \tag{2.34}$$

for some collection of points $x_i \in S(R)$. To be precise, choose a maximal collection of disjoint balls $C_{x_i}(2\pi R)$ in $S(R)$ so that the balls $C_{x_i}(4\pi R)$ cover $S(R)$. Then choose the sets D_i, so that $C_{x_i}(2\pi R) \subset D_i$ with ∂D_i contained in $C_{x_i}(4\pi R) \setminus C_{x_i}(2\pi R)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial D_i) = 0$. Let $N(R)$ denote the cardinality of the collection $\{D_i\}$. Of course it is possible apriori that $N(R)$ diverges to infinity as $R \to \infty$.

By construction, we then have

$$\frac{v(R)}{R^{n-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N(R)} \frac{vol(D_i)}{R^{n-1}}. \tag{2.35}$$

Again, for any fixed R large and $D_i = D_i(R)$ as above, define the domains $D_i(r) \subset S(r)$, as in (2.25), for $\frac{3}{4}R \leq r \leq \frac{5}{4}R$. Then

$$S(r) = \cup_{i=1}^{N(R)} D_i(r),$$

and the structure of $S(r)$ discussed in the proof of Lemma 2.4 and the triangle inequality imply that the domains $D_i(r)$ satisfy $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(D_i(r) \cap D_j(r)) = 0$, for $i \neq j$. Observe that $D_i(r)$ may no longer be necessarily connected, for $r \neq R$, but this is of no importance. By construction, we then have

$$\frac{d^+}{dr}(\frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}})_{r=R} = \frac{d^+}{dr}(\sum_{i=1}^{N(R)} \frac{vol(D_i(r))}{r^{n-1}})_{r=R} = \sum_{i=1}^{N(R)} \frac{d^+}{dr}(\frac{v_i(r)}{r^{n-1}})_{r=R}, \tag{2.36}$$

where $v_i(r) = vol D_i(r)$.

Before proceeding further, we need a slight refinement of the considerations above. Let $S^-(R)$ be the union of the components of $S(R)$ which (partially) bound a domain in the annulus $A(R, 2R)$ about x_0. Thus, a component $C(R) \subset S^-(R)$ if $C(R) \subset \partial K(R)$, where $K(R)$ is a domain in $A(R, 2R)$ with $\partial K(R) \subset S(R)$. Let $S^+(R) = S(R) \setminus S^-(R)$, so that $S(R) = S^+(R) \dot{\cup} S^-(R)$. Note that $S^+(R)$ is always non-empty; it contains components which are the boundaries of ends of N outside $S(R)$, while $S^-(R)$ may or may not be empty. Let $v^+(R) = vol S^+(R)$, $v^-(R) = vol S^-(R)$, so that $v(R) = v^+(R) + v^-(R)$, for all R. Observe that (2.35)-(2.36) hold with $v^+(r)$ or $v^-(r)$ in place of $v(r)$.

Let $D^-(R) \subset A(R, 2R)$ be the compact domain with $\partial D(R) = S^-(R)$. Then (2.23) gives

$$\frac{vol(S(s) \cap D^-(R))}{s^{n-1}} \leq (1 + \delta)\frac{vol S^-(R)}{R^{n-1}}, \tag{2.37}$$

where $\delta \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$. Thus, the main issue is to control the volume growth of $S^+(R)$ as $R \to \infty$.

Now suppose

$$\frac{d^+}{dr}\frac{v^+(r)}{r^{n-1}} \leq 0, \tag{2.38}$$

for all $r \geq R_0$, for some $R_0 < \infty$. Then (2.37) and (2.38) clearly imply $v(r) \leq Kr^{n-1}$, for some $K < \infty$ and so

$$vol B_{x_0}(r) \leq Kr^n. \tag{2.39}$$

Hence, as noted prior to its statement, Theorem 2.2 follows in this case from [An1], (see also Step IV below for details on the proof). Thus, we may suppose that there exists some sequence $r_k \to \infty$ such that

$$\frac{d^+}{dr}\frac{v^+(r)}{r^{n-1}}|_{r=r_k} > 0. \tag{2.40}$$

13

It follows from (2.36) and (2.40) that there exist $i = i(r_k)$ and domains $D_i \subset S^+(r_k)$, as in (2.34) such that for all k,

$$\frac{d^{\,+}}{dr} \frac{v_i(r)}{r^{n-1}}\Big|_{r=r_k} > 0. \tag{2.41}$$

Let $x_i \in S^+(r_k)$, $i = i(k)$, be the base points of D_i. By passing to a subsequence based at $\{x_i\}$ if necessary, one obtains convergence to a tangent cone $(T_\infty, g_\infty, x_\infty)$ with associated distance function ρ and level sets $S(t)$, and with $x_\infty = \lim x_i \in S(1)$. The convergence is in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ topology (on compact subsets), while the convergence of the distance functions is at least Lipschitz. Let $D_\infty \subset S(1)$ be the limit of the domains D_i. Then, by construction, D_∞ is not the (partial) boundary of a domain in $A(1,2) \subset T_\infty$, so that ρ assumes all values in $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ near D_∞. In particular, D_∞ locally disconnects T_∞ and hence $vol D_\infty = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(D_\infty) > 0$.

Note that (2.41) implies that the domain $D_i \subset S(r_k)$ is regular, cf. (2.21). By taking a small perturbation of $\{r_k\}$ if necessary, (relative to its own size), we may assume that $D_\infty \subset S(1) \subset T_\infty$ is also regular and (2.41) still holds. For if this were not the case, then the upper bound (2.20), cf. also (2.23), would imply again that (2.39) holds. Let $D_\infty(t) \subset S(t)$ be defined as in (2.25), so that $D_\infty(t)$ is the limit of the the corresponding domains in $(S(t), g_k)$. Also, let $v_\infty(t) = vol D_\infty(t) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(D_\infty(t))$. The regularity of these domains and the $C^{1,\alpha}$ convergence to the tangent cone T_∞ implies that, for t close to 1,

$$\frac{vol_g(D_i(t r_k))}{(t r_k)^{n-1}} = \frac{vol_{g_k} D_i(t)}{t^{n-1}} \to \frac{vol D_\infty(t)}{t^{n-1}},$$

and

$$r_k \frac{d^{\,+}}{dr} \frac{vol_g(D_i(r))}{r^{n-1}}\Big|_{r=r_k} = \frac{d^{\,+}}{dt} \frac{vol_{g_k}(D_i(t))}{t^{n-1}}\Big|_{t=1} \to \frac{d^{\,+}}{dt} \frac{v_\infty(t)}{t^{n-1}}\Big|_{t=1}. \tag{2.42}$$

Hence, from (2.41) one has

$$\frac{d^{\,+}}{dt} \frac{v_\infty(t)}{t^{n-1}}\Big|_{t=1} \geq 0. \tag{2.43}$$

On the other hand, from (2.33) one has

$$\frac{d^{\,+}}{dt} \frac{v_\infty(t)}{t^{n-1}}\Big|_{t=1} \leq 0.$$

so that

$$\frac{d^{\,+}}{dt} \frac{v_\infty(t)}{t^{n-1}}\Big|_{t=1} = 0. \tag{2.44}$$

The pointwise estimate (2.32), (in the sense of support functions), together with (2.44) implies that $\Delta \rho \equiv (n-1)$ on D_∞. Moreover, since $D^2 \rho \leq \hat{g}$, and $\Delta \rho = tr D^2 \rho$, it also follows that $D^2 \rho = \hat{g}$ on D_∞. This implies first that the domain $D_\infty \subset S(1)$ is in fact smooth. As in Remark 2.5, the Gauss equations imply that D_∞ has constant curvature 1, and hence is a domain in a spherical space form S^{n-1}/Γ, (since $n \geq 3$). Moreover, by the construction in (2.34), D_∞ contains an intrinsic geodesic ball of radius at least 2π, and so it follows that

$$D_\infty = S^{n-1}/\Gamma. \tag{2.45}$$

Since ρ is also a distance function from D_∞, it follows that for $t \leq 1$, $D_\infty(t)$ is the spherical space-form of radius t, and curvature t^{-2}. Thus, the domain $B_\infty = \cup_{t \leq 1} D_\infty(t) \subset T_\infty$ is a ball of radius 1 in the standard cone $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$, with vertex $\{0\} \subset \{t = 0\}$.

At this stage, it is possible that $S(1) \subset T_\infty$ has other components besides D_∞; of course each component satisfying (2.43) is the unit ball about the vertex in a standard cone $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$.

Summarizing, the argument above proves that on any sequence of base points x_k, with $r_k = r(x_k) \to \infty$, for which

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{d^+}{dr} \frac{vol(D_k)}{r^{n-1}}|_{r=r_k} \geq 0, \tag{2.46}$$

any limit D_∞ is of the form (2.45). Moreover, the domain D_k is a full component of $S(r_k)$ for k sufficiently large.

Step 4. Completion of Proof.

The statement (2.46) implies the following: there is an $R < \infty$, sufficiently large, and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, both depending on (N, g), such that for any $r \geq R$, and any domain $D_k \subset S^+(r)$ as in (2.34), either

$$\frac{d^+}{dr} \frac{vol(D_k)}{r^{n-1}} < -\delta, \tag{2.47}$$

or,

$$\frac{vol(D_k)}{r^{n-1}} \leq (1 + \delta) \frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{|\Gamma_k|}. \tag{2.48}$$

Thus, the volume ratio of the domain $D_k(r)$ is either strictly decreasing with increasing r, or the volume ratio is close to that of the corresponding spherical space-form. In the latter case, the geometry of (D_k, g_k) is δ-close to that of a spherical space-form S^{n-1}/Γ_k.

These facts imply that $vol S(r)/r^{n-1}$ is uniformly bounded. In fact, for all $r \geq R$,

$$\frac{vol(S(r))}{r^{n-1}} \leq 2 \frac{vol(S(R))}{R^{n-1}},$$

and hence one has

$$vol B(r) \leq V_0 r^n, \tag{2.49}$$

for some V_0 depending on (N, g). This shows that (2.13) holds, and hence from the methods of [An1], it follows that (N, g) has a finite number of ends, each ALE.

For completeness, we give the proof of this. The bounds (2.14) and (2.49) imply that the geodesic sphere $S(1)$ in any tangent cone at infinity T_∞ of (N, g) has uniformly bounded volume, diameter and a uniformly bounded number of components. The proof of this is the same as that given in §2.1, since one has a uniform upper bound on the number of disjoint balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ in any 1-ball $(B(1), g_r)$ about x_0, for g_r as in (2.28). Given a tangent cone (T_∞, g_∞) obtained as a limit of rescalings by factors r_k^{-2} as in (2.28), observe that the geodesic sphere $S(t) \subset T_\infty$ is just the unit geodesic sphere $S(1)$ in the tangent cone $(T_\infty(t), g_\infty(t))$ obtained by rescaling by the factors $(tr_k)^{-2}$.

It follows that in any tangent cone T_∞, and for any $t > 0$, one has the uniform bound

$$diam S(t) \leq D \cdot t, \tag{2.50}$$

for some fixed D, compare with (2.6). Here $diam$ is the intrinsic diameter of each component of $S(t)$. For the same reasons, there is a uniform upper bound on the number of components of $S(t)$. As discussed near the end of §2.1, these estimates imply that the metric completion $\bar{T}_\infty$ of any T_∞ is given by adding a bounded number of points $\{z_j\}$ to T_∞, one for each component of $S(t)$, for t small. Hence $\bar{T}_\infty$ is a complete flat manifold with a finite collection of isolated singularities.

By an analysis of the developing map for flat structures, one sees that T_∞ is a standard flat cone $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$ with a finite number of points removed, (including the vertex). Thus, only one point, the vertex say $\{0\} = \{z_0\}$, among the collection $\{z_j\}$ may be a singular point; all others have neighborhoods which are balls, and so are locally removable singularities. This result is proved in [An4,Thm.3.2], cf. also [AC], the idea being that isolated singularities of flat manifolds are

15

necessarily orbifold singularities, and all flat orbifolds are good orbifolds in the sense of Thurston, i.e. are quotients of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a group of isometries.

Thus *all* tangent cones T_∞ of (N, g) are standard cones on spherical space-forms, possibly with a finite number of points removed. Moreover, we now claim that the collection of removable singularities $\{z_j\}$, $j \geq 1$, is empty, so that all geodesic spheres $S(1)$ are standard spherical space-forms S^{n-1}/Γ. Namely, since the convergence of the rescalings $r^{-2} \cdot g$ to g_∞ is smooth, (i.e. $C^{1,\alpha}$), it follows that for any r sufficiently large, and any base point $x \in S(r)$, the component $(A(\frac{1}{2}, 1), g_r, x)$ of $(A(\frac{1}{2}, 1), g_r)$ containing x is close, in $C^{1,\alpha}$, to the standard flat metric on a standard annulus $A(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ in $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$. Npw recall again that if $(S(1), g_r)$, (the rescaling of $(S(r), g)$ by r^{-2}), is close to $S(1) \subset T_\infty$ then for any given λ large, $(S(\lambda), g_r)$ is close to $S(\lambda) \subset T_\infty$, but is also close to $S(1) \subset T_\infty^\lambda$, where T_∞^λ is T_∞ scaled down by the factor λ^{-2}. It follows that for all r sufficiently large, $r \geq R_0$, each component of $A(\frac{1}{2}r, r) \subset (N, g)$ stabilizes, i.e. is independent of r; no bifurcations or changes in the topology are possible, since the geometry of each annular region remains very close to that of a standard spherical cone. In particular, for r sufficiently large, the components of $S(r)$ can not bifurcate or merge and hence each geodesic sphere $S(1)$ in any tangent cone at infinity T_∞ is connected.

Exactly the same reasoning implies that (N, g) has only a finite number of ends, and each end is asymptotic to a standard cone $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$. Scaling properties and the $C^{1,\alpha}$ convergence to the tangent cone at infinity imply the decay estimates (1.7). The formula (2.16) follows then immediately.

Finally, we claim that the number κ of ends of (N, g), and the volume constant V_0 depend only on the function $\varepsilon(r)$. Since $\varepsilon(r)$ is fixed, for r sufficiently large, $\varepsilon(r)$ is sufficiently small. Hence, for a given μ small, the rescaled curvature $r^2|R|(r)$ satisfies $r^2|R|(r) \leq \mu$, for all $r \geq R_0 = R_0(\mu)$. As above, this implies that the topology of $A(R_0, \infty)$ is that of a finite collection of truncated cones on S^{n-1}/Γ. On the other hand, for $r \leq R_0$, the curvature R of (N, g) is uniformly bounded, by (2.15), and hence $B_{x_0}(R_0)$ has bounded volume and bounded topology; in particular, there is a fixed bound on the number of components of $S_{x_0}(R_0)$, depending only on R_0. This proves that the number of ends is bounded by the function $\varepsilon(r)$. The same reasoning gives an estimate on V_0 in terms of $\varepsilon(r)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. ∎

Remark 2.6. As a simple illustration of Theorem 2.2, let p_i, $i = 1, ... \kappa$, be a finite collection of points in a closed Riemannian manifold (M, g). Let $r_i(x) = dist(x, p_i)$ and consider the manifold $N = M \setminus \cup p_i$ with the conformally equivalent metric

$$\widetilde{g} = (\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{\widetilde{r}_i^4}) g,$$

where $\widetilde{r}_i$ is a smoothing of r_i away from p_i. Then $\widetilde{g}$ is complete and ALE, in fact AE, with κ ends. The norm of the curvature depends on κ. In particular, the $L^{n/2}$ and L^∞ norms of the curvature are unbounded as κ increases to infinity. This shows that number of ends, as well as the volume ratio V_0, in Theorem 2.2 depend on $\varepsilon(r)$.

Similarly, it is easy to construct manifolds satisfying (2.14) and (2.15) with $\varepsilon(r) \equiv 1$ which have infinitely many ends.

Remark 2.7. It is clear that Theorem 2.2 also holds if (N, g) is an orbifold with a bounded number of cones at each singular point, instead of a smooth manifold. By definition, the singular points of orbifolds form a discrete set. Hence the cross sections S^{n-1}/Γ of the tangent cones at infinity cannot themselves be orbifolds, for otherwise N would have at least non-trivial curves of

singularities. Hence, the number of orbifold singular points is finite, and again bounded by the function $\varepsilon(r)$.

(ii). In a certain sense, Theorem 2.2 is more general than Theorem 1.2, in that the global bound (1.9) on the $L^{n/2}$ norm of the curvature is not required in Theorem 2.2. However, for the application to the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is important to know that the number κ of ends, and the maximal volume ratio V_0 depend only on Λ, and not on the manifold (N, g) or the function $\varepsilon(r)$. Of course a bound on Λ does not give apriori control on $\varepsilon(r)$.

Using Theorem 2.2 as a base, we are now in position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

We recall the hypotheses: (N, g) is a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold, satisfying

$$volB_x(r) \geq \nu_0 r^n, \int_N |R|^{n/2} \leq \Lambda, \tag{2.51}$$

together with the small curvature estimate (1.5), with fixed ν_0, Λ, δ_0 and C_0. Let

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \sup_{x,r} \frac{volB_x(r)}{r^n}. \tag{2.52}$$

Then $\mathcal{V}_0$ and κ, the number of ends of (N, g) are finite, and we need to prove there is a bound on $\mathcal{V}_0$ and κ, depending only on ν_0, Λ, δ_0 and C_0. Note that all the quantities in (2.51), (2.52) and (1.5) are scale-invariant.

The proof is somewhat simpler if one adds the hypothesis

$$|R|(r) \leq \frac{C}{1 + r^2}, \tag{2.53}$$

where $r(x) = dist_g(x, x_0)$, for some base point $x_0 \in N$ and some arbitrary constant $C < \infty$, with κ and $\mathcal{V}_0$ depending also on C. Thus, we first prove the result in this situation, and then show how the proof can be generalized to give the result in full.

Suppose the statement is false; then there exists a sequence of manifolds (N_i, g_i) satisfying (2.51)-(2.53), w.r.t base points x_i, such that either $\kappa_i \to \infty$ or $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_i(g_i) \to \infty$, (or both). By the smooth (i.e. $C^{1,\alpha} \cap L^{2,p}$) Gromov compactness theorem, there exist radii $R_i^1 \to \infty$ such that a subsequence of $(B(R_i^1), g_i, x_i)$ converges uniformly in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ topologies to a complete limit (N_0, g_0, x_0). Here $B(R_i^1)$ is the ball centered at x_i; in the following, we assume the subsequence of $\{(N_i, g_i)\}$ has been chosen, so that one has convergence to the limit. The limit metric g_0 has curvature locally in L^p, for any $p < \infty$, and has $L^{n/2}$ norm of curvature satisfying (2.51) on N_0.

We observe that R_i^1 may be chosen so that

$$\int_{B(R_i^1)} |R|^{n/2} \geq \delta_0. \tag{2.54}$$

For if $\int_{B(R_i^1)} |R|^{n/2} < \delta_0$, we may rescale the metrics g_i by $(R_i^1)^{-2}$ taking $B(R_i^1)$ to a ball of radius 1. The small curvature estimate (1.5) implies that the curvature is uniformly bounded in $B(1)$, while (2.53) remains valid outside $B(1)$; (the estimate (2.53) is essentially scale invariant for large r). Hence, all the arguments above may be applied to this renormalization of the original sequence (N_i, g_i).

We may thus apply Theorem 2.2 to conclude that N_0 has a finite number κ^0 of ends, and a maximal volume ratio $\mathcal{V}^0$. Returning to the geometry of the original subsequence (N_i, g_i), it follows that a neighborhood $A(\frac{1}{2}R_i^1, R_i^1)$ of the boundary of $B(R_i^1)$ has κ^0 components, and the maximal volume ratio $\mathcal{V}$ in $B(R_i^1)$ satisfies $\mathcal{V} \leq 2\mathcal{V}^0$. The curvature of g_i on the region $A(\frac{1}{2}R_i^1, R_i^1)$, or the larger region $A(mR_i^1, m^{-1}R_i^1)$, for any fixed m, is on the order of $\mu_i \cdot (R_i^1)^{-2}$, where $\mu_i \to 0$ as

$i \to \infty$; after rescaling by $(R_i^1)^{-2}$, the geometry here is close to that of a collection of truncated spherical cones.

Now rescale the original metrics (N_i, g_i) in the subsequence to make the radius R_i^1 small. Thus, set $g_i^1 = r_i^2 (R_i^1)^{-2} g_i$, where $r_i \to 0$ slowly, as $i \to \infty$. The curvature of g_i^1 in the g_i^1-annulus $A(mr_i, m^{-1} r_i)$ about x_i is on the order of $\mu_i' \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, since r_i is chosen to go to 0 sufficiently slowly. Outside $B(r_i)$, the curvature bound (2.53) still holds w.r.t. g_i^1, and r_i may be chosen so that the curvature is uniformly bounded in $(A(r_i, 1), g_i^1)$. Thus, via Gromov compactness again, by passing to a further subsequence of the original sequence, we can again pass to a complete rescaled limit (V^1, g^1, x^1) with a single orbifold singular point $x^1 = \{0\}$, having a bounded number κ^0 of cones. Now apply Theorem 2.2, (or Remark 2.7), to this configuration; V^1 has a bounded number κ^1 of ends, and again maximal volume ratio $\mathcal{V}^1 (\geq \mathcal{V}^0)$. Hence, there is a sequence $R_i^2 \to \infty$ (slowly) such that the balls $B(R_i^2) \subset (N_i, g_i^1)$ converge uniformly to (V^1, g^1); the convergence is $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ away from the singular point $\{0\}$. For the same reasons as above justifying (2.54), we may assume that, in the g_i^1 metric,

$$\int_{A(1, R_i^2)} |R|^{n/2} \geq \delta_0.$$

Returning then again to the original unscaled subsequence (N_i, g_i), it follows that the number of components of $A(\frac{1}{2} R_i^1 R_i^2, R_i^1 R_i^2)$ is κ^1 and the maximal volume ratio $\mathcal{V}$ in the ball $B(R_i^1 R_i^2)$ satisfies $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{V}^1$.

This process can clearly be repeated any finite number of times, passing to a subsequence of the original sequence (N_i, g_i) if necessary. Each iteration adds δ_0 to the total $L^{n/2}$ norm of curvature. Hence, after $d = d(\Lambda, \delta_0)$ iterations, one has a radius $S_i^d = \prod_1^d R_i^k$ such that

$$\int_{N_i \setminus B(S_i^d)} |R|^{n/2} \leq \delta_0, \tag{2.55}$$

for which the maximal volume ratio $\mathcal{V}$ in $B(S_i^d) \subset (N_i, g_i)$ satisfies $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{V}^d < \infty$ and the number of components of $A(\frac{1}{2} S_i^d, S_i^d) \subset (N_i, g_i)$ is bounded by $\kappa^d < \infty$, for i large. The curvature of the annulus $A(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ in the rescaling $g_i^d = (S_i^d)^{-2}$ is arbitrarily small when i is large. In the scale g_i^d, the estimate (2.55) is now global, and implies the curvature decays faster than quadratically outside $B(1)$. Theorem 2.2 then gives a uniform bound on the number of ends of N_i and a uniform upper bound $\mathcal{V}$ on the maximal volume ratio. This contradicts the assumption that $\mathcal{V}_i$ or κ_i becomes arbitrarily large as $i \to \infty$, and proves Theorem 1.2 in case (2.53) holds.

Next we turn to the situation where (2.53) is not assumed. The proof in this case has the same overall structure as before; the only difference is that new orbifold singular points, not only $\{0\}$, may be introduced in the limits. However, these are treated in essentially the same way.

The hypotheses (1.8) and (1.9) in Theorem 1.2 are scale invariant and we need to first choose a scale and base points at which to begin the analysis. Thus, in the following, we assume that any (N, g) is scaled, and a base point $x_0 \in (N, g)$ is chosen, so that

$$\int_{B_{x_0}(1)} |R|^{n/2} = \delta_0, \text{ and } \int_{B_x(1)} |R|^{n/2} \leq \delta_0, \forall x \in N. \tag{2.56}$$

It follows from the small curvature estimate (1.5) that the curvature of (N, g) is uniformly bounded.

The initial step is then identical to the procedure above, giving a limit (N^0, g^0, x^0) associated with radii $R_i^1 \to \infty$. The first rescaling to obtain the limit (V^1, g^1) is also identical within small a small ball $B(\mu_0)$ about the orbifold singularity $\{0\}$. However, the curvature of g_i^1 may not be uniformly bounded outside $B(\mu_0)$. Nevertheless, we claim that this just corresponds to formation of new orbifold singular points on the limit V^1. For suppose the curvature of g_i^1 blows up at points

18

y_i within finite distance to x_i w.r.t. g_i^1. By perturbing y_i slightly if necessary, we may assume that curvature is more concentrated in $L^{n/2}$ norm at y_i than at nearby points. The metric g_i^1 may then be rescaled to $\widetilde{g}_i^1$, based at y_i so that, with respect to $\widetilde{g}_i^1$,

$$\int_{B_{y_i}(1)} |R|^{n/2} = \delta_0, \text{ and } \int_{B_y(\frac{1}{2})} |R|^{n/2} \leq \delta_0,$$

for all y within $\widetilde{g}_i^1$ bounded distance to y_i. Note that by (2.56), distances w.r.t. the metric $\widetilde{g}_i^1$ are no larger than distances w.r.t. the original metric g_i. One may now repeat the analysis carried out above at x_i at y_i in place of x_i. It follows that y_i converges, (as always in a subsequence of the original sequence) to an orbifold singularity $p \in V^1$. Thus, the limit (V^1, g^1) is a complete orbifold, with a bounded number of singular points, (depending on Λ). As before, V^1 has a bounded number κ^1 of ends, each ALE, and has a maximal volume ratio $\mathcal{V}^1$.

With this modification of orbifold limits in place of manifold limits, the remainder of the proof is then exactly the same as above in the case (2.53) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. ∎

Remark 2.8. As in Remark 2.7, it is clear from the proof above that Theorem 1.2 also holds for orbifolds with a bounded number of cones at each singular point in place of smooth manifolds. It would be of interest to have a direct proof of this result, without the use of a contradiction.

§2.3. In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1.
Given the work done in the prior subsections, this is now quite simple. Thus, let (M_i, g_i) be a sequence of n-manifolds satisfying (1.3)-(1.5). We claim that

$$volB_x(r) < \mathcal{V}r^n, \tag{2.57}$$

for some constant $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\nu_0, \Lambda, \delta_0, C_0)$, where $B_x(r)$ is any geodesic r-ball in (M_i, g_i). For r sufficiently small, (depending on i), one has, for any $x \in (M_i, g_i)$, $volB_x(r) \sim \omega_n r^n$, since the metric is nearly Euclidean on sufficiently small scales. Suppose (2.57) fails, so that on some sequence of balls $B_{x_i}(r_i) \subset (M_i, g_i)$, $volB_{x_i}(r_i) \geq \mathcal{V}_i r_i^n$, where $\mathcal{V}_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. Let s_i be the smallest radius such that, for some $y_i \in (M_i, g_i)$,

$$volB_{y_i}(s_i) \geq 2V_0 s_i^n, \tag{2.58}$$

where V_0 is the V_0 from Theorem 1.2. If $s_i = diam(M, g_i)$, then (2.57) holds, (with $\mathcal{V} = 2V_0$), and so there is nothing to prove. In fact, if s_i is bounded away from 0, independent of i, then again there is nothing to prove. For in this situation, one has

$$volB_x(r) \leq 2V_0 r^n, \tag{2.59}$$

for all $r \leq s_0 \leq s_i$, for some fixed $s_0 > 0$. Since $volM_i = 1$, this gives an upper bound on the diameter of (M_i, g_i) and hence (2.57) holds for all $r \leq diamM$, (with $\mathcal{V} = CV_0$, for a definite $C = C(s_0)$). Thus, we may assume that $s_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Hence, most of volume of (M_i, g_i) lies outside $B_{y_i}(s_i)$. In terms of the decomposition (2.3)-(2.4) of (M_i, g_i), note that one must have $y_i \in B_i^r$, for all i, and for some r small, tending to 0 as $i \to \infty$.

Now the scale invariant estimate (2.59) holds on all balls of radius $r \leq s_i$. Rescale the metrics g_i by setting $\widetilde{g}_i = K^2(s_i)^{-2}g_i$, so that the ball $B_{y_i}(s_i)$ becomes a ball of radius K w.r.t. $\widetilde{g}_i$. Here K is a fixed large number. Hence, the maximal volume ratio is bounded by $2V_0$ in all balls of $\widetilde{g}_i$-radius at most K. It follows from §2.1, or the proof of Theorem 1.2 above, that a subsequence of $(M_i, \widetilde{g}_i, y_i)$ converges to a complete limit orbifold $(V_\infty, \widetilde{g}_\infty, y_\infty)$, satisfying the bounds (1.3)-(1.5). Theorem 1.2 then implies that the maximal volume ratio of $(V_\infty, \widetilde{g}_\infty)$ is V_0. However, we have $volB_{y_\infty}(K) = 2V_0 K^n$. This is a contradiction, which thus proves that (2.57) holds.

Given (2.57), the remainder of the proof of Theorem 1.1 has already been completed in §2.1. ∎

Remark 2.9. It is worthwhile to discuss some simple examples illustrating Theorem 1.1. As in Remark 2.6, let p_j, $j = 1, ..., \kappa$, be a finite collection of points in a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) so that

$$\widetilde{g} = (\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{\widetilde{r}_j^4})g,$$

is an AE metric on $M \setminus \{p_j\}$. Choose a sequence $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, and consider the metrics

$$\widetilde{g}_i = \varepsilon_i^2 \widetilde{g}.$$

As $\varepsilon_i \to 0$, these metrics converge, in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, to a union of κ cones $C(S^{n-1})$ on S^{n-1}, i.e. κ balls B^n, joined at the vertex $\{0\}$. The limit metric g_∞ is just the flat metric on each ball. The boundary of this collection of balls is a collection of κ spheres S^{n-1}, to which one may glue on κ balls B^n to obtain a closed orbifold V. Then V is clearly a collection of κ spheres S^n, joined at a single vertex. The limit flat metric g_∞ may be conformally bent, and extended across its boundary spheres S^{n-1} to give a smooth metric g'_∞ on the orbifold V. Similarly, the sequence of metrics $\widetilde{g}_i$ may easily be modified and extended to give a sequence of smooth metrics $\widetilde{g}'_i$ on $M\#(\#_1^\kappa S^n) = M$, converging to g'_∞ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology,

$$(M\#(\#_1^\kappa S^n), g'_i) \to (\vee_1^\kappa S^n, g'_\infty). \tag{2.60}$$

It is easily seen that the sequence $\widetilde{g}'_i$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

Observe that any non-trivial topology of M has disappeared in the limit V. It is clear that this construction can be generalized so that any closed orbifold V is the limit of a sequence of metrics on suitable manifolds M satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. The only restriction on the topology of M is that the regular part V_0 of V embeds as a domain in M, with boundary a union of spherical space-forms. The topology of the complement $M \setminus V_0$ may otherwise be arbitrary, (for Λ sufficiently large).

Remark 2.10. As outlined in Remark 2.1(ii), one can completely describe the structure of the degeneration of (M_i, g_i) near the orbifold singularities. We give this description here, but refer to [B] or [AC] for more details. The description closely resembles the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let $(M_i, g_i) \to (V, g_\infty)$ and let q be a singular point of V. Then there is sequence of scales $r_i = r_i^1 \to 0$ such that the rescalings $(M_i, r_i^{-2}g_i, x_i)$ with $x_i \to q$, converge in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to a complete, non-compact ALE orbifold (V^1, g^1) with a finite number of singular points, and

$$\int_{V^1} |R|^{n/2} \geq \delta_0. \tag{2.61}$$

If (V^1, g^1) is not a smooth manifold, then there are second level scales $\{r_i^2\}$ associated with each singular point of V^1; (the scales r_i^2 depend on the choice of singular point in V^1). Rescaling $r_i^{-2}g_i$ further by such factors at base points converging to the singular points of V^1 gives a collection of second level ALE orbifolds $\{(V^2, g^2)\}$ associated with each singular point of (V^1, g^1). Each iteration of this process satisfies (2.61), and hence this process terminates at a finite stage. At the last stage, corresponding to the smallest scales, the resulting blow-up limits are non-flat smooth manifolds. The topology of the original manifolds M_i may then be reconstructed from that of V, and the scale of orbifolds associated with each singular point $q \in V$ and its predecessors in V^{j-1}.

Each orbifold is of uniformly bounded topological type, with bounds depending only on Λ, ν_o, δ_0 and C_0, and hence there is a bound $K = K(\Lambda, \nu_o, \delta_0, C_0)$ on the number of topological types of

$\{M_i\}$. In particular, the homology groups of M_i are determined, (by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence), by the homology of V and the homology of the collection of ALE orbifold spaces $\{V^j\}$.

Remark 2.11. In a certain sense, the bound on $\sup_{B(r/2)}|R|$ in the small curvature estimate (1.5) is a stronger assumption than the bound on the Ricci curvature in (1.2). For the applications of Theorem 1.1 in §3, this turns out to be irrelevant. However, it is perhaps worth noting that the bound on $\sup_{B(r/2)}|R|$ can be replaced by bound on $\sup_{B(r/2)}|Ric|$, so that (1.5) may be replaced by the weaker condition

$$\sup_{B(r/2)}|Ric| \leq (\frac{C_0}{vol B_x(r)}\int_{B_x(r)}|R|^{n/2}dV_g)^{2/n}, \tag{2.62}$$

in Theorem 1.1. We outline a proof of this, but do not give all the details, since this result is not used.

To see that (2.62) may be used in place of (1.5), observe that (1.5) is used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in exactly two ways. First, it is used in the local version of the $C^{1,\alpha} \cap L^{2,p}$ Gromov compactness theorem. However, as in the proof of orbifold compactness under the bounds (1.2), under a smallness condition on the $L^{n/2}$ norm of the curvature R and a lower volume bound as in (1.4), such a compactness result holds with a bound on $|Ric|$ in place of $|R|$, cf. [An3].

Second, the estimate on $\sup|R|$ is used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 (Steps 1 and 2) to obtain upper bounds on Δr and D^2r via comparison geometry. These estimates do require a bound on the full curvature $|R|$, not just on the Ricci curvature. However, given the bound (1.9) on the $L^{n/2}$ norm of R on (N,g), the estimate (2.62) implies that $r^2 \sup_{A(r,2r)}|Ric| \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$ on (N,g). Consequently, the $L^{2,p}$ harmonic radius ρ, (cf. (3.11) below), satisfies $\rho(x) \sim r(x)$ for $r(x)$ large. This means that the rescaled metrics g_r are locally close to the flat metric in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$ topology on balls of uniform size. One may then smooth the metric, for instance by convolution with a smooth mollifier, to produce a nearby metric for which the estimate (1.5) holds. These local smoothings may then be patched together, via a partition of unity, to define a new metric $(N,\widetilde{g})$ on which (1.5) then holds. Applying Theorem 1.2 to $(N,\widetilde{g})$ gives then the same conclusion for the original metric (N,g).

With these two modifications, the rest of the proof of Theorem 1.1 proceeds in exactly the same way. In fact, this discussion shows that (2.62) can be weakened even further, if desired. For example, it may be replaced by the bound

$$(\frac{1}{vol B_x(r/2)}\int_{B_x(r/2)}|Ric|^p dV_g)^{1/p} \leq (\frac{C_0}{vol B_x(r)}\int_{B_x(r)}|R|^{n/2}dV_g)^{2/n}, \tag{2.63}$$

for a fixed $p > n/2$. One then obtains orbifold compactness in $C^{1,\alpha}$ and weak $L^{2,p}$, where $\alpha < 2 - \frac{n}{p}$.

Remark 2.12. From the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, it is apparent that Theorem 1.1 holds for spaces of orbifolds satisfying the bounds (1.3)-(1.5).

3. APPLICATIONS TO MODULI OF CRITICAL METRICS.

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 and related results on metrics which are critical points of natural Riemannian functionals on the space of metrics. For clarity, we divide this section into two subsections. In §3.1, we discuss some general results regarding the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. In §3.2, we discuss Theorem 1.3, i.e. moduli of Bach-flat metrics as well as moduli of selfdual (or anti-selfdual) metrics on 4-manifolds, and remark on analogous results for critical metrics of other natural functionals on the space of metrics.

§3.1. This subsection is concerned with some general results relating to the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

Given a closed Riemannian n-manifold (M, g), let c_S be the Sobolev constant for the embedding $L^{2n/n-2} \to L^{1,2}$ of Sobolev spaces; thus c_S is the constant such that

$$c_S(\int_M |f|^{2n/n-2})^{n/n-2} \leq \int_M |df|^2 + \frac{c_S}{vol M^{2/n}} \int_M f^2, \tag{3.1}$$

for any smooth function f on (M, g). Note that c_S is scale-invariant. Recall also that a metric γ on M is a Yamabe metric if it minimizes the total scalar curvature functional restricted to the conformal class $[\gamma]$ of γ; this is equivalent to the statement that, for $n \geq 3$,

$$s_\gamma vol M^{2/n}(\int_M |f|^{2n/n-2})^{n/n-2} \leq \int_M c_n |df|^2 + \int_M s_\gamma f^2, \tag{3.2}$$

for all smooth functions f on M, where $c_n = 4\frac{n-1}{n-2}$ and s_γ is the scalar curvature of γ.

Lemma 3.1. *Let g be a unit volume Yamabe metric on a closed n-manifold M, with scalar curvature $s_g \geq s_0 > 0$. Then there is a constant ν_0, depending only on n and s_0, such that*

$$c_S \geq s_0/c_n, \quad \text{and} \tag{3.3}$$

$$vol B_x(r) \geq \nu_0 r^n, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $r \leq \frac{1}{2} diam M$.

Proof: Both of these facts are standard and well-known. The estimate (3.3) follows trivially from (3.1)-(3.2). The estimate (3.4), while less trivial, is also easy to prove, cf. [Ak] for example. ∎

Of course the estimate (3.4) corresponds to the non-collapse assumption (1.4) in Theorem 1.1. Regarding the global curvature bound in (1.4), one has the following, also standard, result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, g) be a closed (oriented) Riemannian 4-manifold. Then*

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M |R|^2 = \chi(M) + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_M |z|^2, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_M |z|^2 = -\chi(M) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M |W|^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \frac{s^2}{24}, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{12\pi^2} \int_M |W^+|^2 - |W^-|^2 = \tau(M). \tag{3.7}$$

Here R, W and s are the Riemann, Weyl and scalar curvature, z is the trace-free Ricci curvature, $z = Ric - \frac{s}{4}g$, and $W^\pm$ are the selfdual and anti-selfdual parts of the Weyl curvature; $\chi(M)$ and $\tau(M)$ are the Euler characteristic and signature.

Proof: These statements (3.5)-(3.6) are just two related versions of the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet theorem in dimension 4. The equation (3.7) is a combination of the Chern-Weil theorem and the Hirzebruch signature formula, cf. [Be]. ∎

We also recall Aubin's estimate on the scalar curvature of any unit volume Yamabe metric g on any M:

$$s_g \leq S_0 = n(n-1)\sigma_n^{2/n}, \tag{3.8}$$

where σ_n is the volume of the unit n-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The estimate (3.5) implies an upper bound on the L^2 norm of the curvature R of a metric on a 4-manifold M, depending only on an upper bound on $\chi(M)$ and an L^2 bound on z; for Einstein metrics, one thus obtains an upper bound depending only on $\chi(M)$. Similarly, substituting (3.6) in

(3.5) and using (3.8) gives an upper bound for the L^2 norm of R on a unit volume Yamabe metric, in terms of an upper bound for the L^2 norm of W and a lower bound for $\chi(M)$.

Next we derive an L^p analogue of the small curvature estimate (1.5).

Lemma 3.3. *Let B(1) be a geodesic ball centered at x_0 in a smooth Riemannian n-manifold (M, g), and suppose the Ricci curvature of g satisfies the inequality*

$$\Delta|Ric| \geq -d_0|R||Ric|, \tag{3.9}$$

for some constant d_0, where R is the curvature tensor of (M, g). Then there is a constant δ_0, depending only on n and the Sobolev constant c_S in (3.1), such that if $(\int_{B(1)} |R|^{n/2})^{2/n} \leq \delta_0$, then

$$\left(\int_{B(\frac{1}{2})} |R|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C_0\delta_0, \tag{3.10}$$

where C_0 depends only on n, p, d_0 and c_S.

Proof: We assume n, p, d_0 are chosen, and that c_S has a uniform lower bound. If $n = 3$, then the Ricci curvature is algebraically equivalent to the full curvature R, and the proof is much easier in this case, cf. Remark 3.4 below. Thus, we assume $n \geq 4$.

Let $\rho = \rho^{2,p}$ be the $L^{2,p}$ harmonic radius of $B(1) \subset (M, g)$, cf. [An3]; basically, ρ is the largest radius such that on any geodesic ball $B(\rho) \subset B(1)$, one has harmonic coordinates in which the metric components g_{ij} are bounded by a fixed constant Q in $L^{2,p}$ norm, in that

$$Q^{-1}\delta_{ij} \leq g_{ij} \leq Q\delta_{ij}, \quad \text{as bilinear forms,} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\rho^{2p-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\partial^2 g_{ij}|^p dV \leq Q,$$

where Q is a fixed constant, $Q > 1$. Thus, ρ is small when the curvature is large in L^p on $B(\rho)$. The radius ρ scales as a distance. Let also $t(x) = dist_g(x, \partial B(1))$.

Consider the minimal value μ of the (scale invariant) ratio ρ/t. The estimate (3.10) clearly holds if μ is uniformly bounded below, i.e. $\rho/t \geq \mu_0$, where $\mu_0 = \mu_0(n, p, d_0)$. Thus, suppose $\rho(x) << t(x)$ for some $x \in B(1)$, so that the L^p norm of the curvature becomes large near x. The minimal value μ is then achieved at some interior point $y_0 \in B(1)$, and we rescale the metric g at y_0 to a metric g' so that $\rho'(y_0) = 1$, where ρ' is the harmonic radius w.r.t. g'. Then $t'(y_0) >> 1$, so that the g'-distance of y_0 to the boundary is large. Moreover, the minimality property of y_0 then also gives

$$\rho'(y) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall y \in (B_{y_0}(2), g'). \tag{3.12}$$

The equation (3.9) and hypothesis on the $L^{n/2}$ norm of R are scale invariant, and so remain valid in the scale g'. For simplicity, we drop the prime from the notation, so that one now has $\rho(y_0) = 1$, and $\rho(y) > \frac{1}{2}$, for all y within distance 2 to y_0.

Since the metric is thus uniformly controlled in $L^{2,p} \subset C^{1,\alpha}$ on $B(2)$ a standard application of the DeGiorgi-Nash-Moser estimate for non-negative solutions of the elliptic inequality (3.9), cf. [GT,Thm.8.17], implies that

$$sup_{B(\frac{3}{2})}|Ric|^2 \leq C \int_{B(2)} |Ric|^2 + C\left(\int_{B(\frac{3}{2})} |R|^q\right)^{2/q}. \tag{3.13}$$

where q is any fixed number with $q > n/2$ and $C = C(q, c_S)$. All balls are now centered at y_0. A standard interpolation inequality, cf. [GT, (7.10)], gives for $n/2 < q < p$

$$||R||_{L^q(B(\frac{3}{2}))} \leq \varepsilon||R||_{L^p(B(\frac{3}{2}))} + \varepsilon^{-\sigma}||R||_{L^{n/2}(B(\frac{3}{2}))}, \tag{3.14}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\sigma = (2/n - 1/q)/(1/q - 1/p)$. Also, from the fact that $\rho \geq \frac{1}{2}$ in $B(2)$, we have

$$||R||_{L^p(B(\frac{3}{2}))} \leq C||R||_{L^p(B(1))}, \tag{3.15}$$

for a fixed constant C.

On the other hand, the Ricci curvature controls the metric in harmonic coordinates, and one also has the elliptic estimate

$$||R||_{L^p(B(1))} \leq C_1(||Ric||_{L^\infty(B(\frac{3}{2}))} + ||R||_{L^{n/2}(B(\frac{3}{2}))}). \tag{3.16}$$

coming from the well-known elliptic equation $(Ric)_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta g_{ij} + Q(g, \partial g)$, (cf. [An3,(2.7)ff]). Choosing ε small in (3.14), the combined equations (3.13)-(3.16) give the estimate

$$||R||_{L^p(B(1))} \leq C_2(||Ric||_{L^2(B(2))} + ||R||_{L^{n/2}(B(\frac{3}{2}))}), \tag{3.17}$$

for some fixed constant C_2. Now the last term in (3.17) is bounded by $C_2 \cdot \delta_0$. Similarly, since $|Ric| \leq |R|$, and since $n \geq 4$, the L^2 norm of the Ricci curvature is bounded by the $L^{n/2}$ norm of the full curvature R. Thus (3.17) implies

$$||R||_{L^p(B(1))} \leq C_3\delta_0. \tag{3.18}$$

However, the L^p norm of R on $B(1)$ is on the order of Q from (3.11). Hence, one has a contradiction if δ_0 is sufficiently small. $\blacksquare$

Remark 3.4. If instead of (3.9) one has the inequality $\Delta|R| \geq -d_0|R|^2$, then (3.10) also holds. The proof in this case is a direct consequence of the DeGiorgi-Nash-Moser theorem, cf. [An1], [BKN]. Such an estimate holds for the curvature tensor of Einstein metrics, or more generally metrics with harmonic curvature, cf. [Be].

(ii). The same proof shows that (3.10) if the hypothesis on the $L^{n/2}$ norm is replaced by other scale invariant bounds on the curvature. For example, the proof also holds in case one assumes

$$\sup_{B(r) \subset B(1)} \frac{1}{r^{n-2s}} \int_{B(r)} |R|^s \leq \delta_0, \tag{3.19}$$

for $2 \leq s \leq n/2$.

Lemma 3.3 leads to the following strong or smooth version of the small curvature estimate (1.5).

Proposition 3.5. *Let $B(1)$ be a geodesic ball in a smooth Riemannian n-manifold (M, g) and suppose the Ricci curvature of g satisfies an equation of the form*

$$D^*DRic = R * Ric, \tag{3.20}$$

where R is the curvature tensor and $*$ denotes any algebraic operation of R or its (irreducible) components on symmetric bilinear forms. Suppose in addition that $(\int_{B(1)} |R|^{n/2})^{2/n} \leq \delta_0$, where δ_0 is small, depending only on n and c_S.

Then there is an $r_0 = r_0(n, c_S)$ and harmonic coordinates on $B(r_0)$ such that

$$||g||_{C^{m,\alpha}(B(r_0))} \leq K, \tag{3.21}$$

where K depends only on n, m, α, and c_S.

Proof: Taking the inner product of (3.20) with Ric gives

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta|Ric|^2 = |DRic|^2 + \langle R * Ric, Ric \rangle.$$

A simple application of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality then implies

$$\Delta|Ric| \geq -d_0|R||Ric|,$$

24

for a constant d_0 depending only on the algebraic operator $*$. From Lemma 3.3, one thus has a uniform lower bound on the $L^{2,p}$ and therefore $C^{1,\alpha}$ harmonic radius. In particular, (3.21) holds with $m = 1$.

Next, since the curvature is uniformly bounded in L^p on $B(\frac{1}{2})$, standard elliptic regularity, [GT, Ch.8] applied to the operator (3.20) expressed in local harmonic coordinates on $B(r_0)$, (in which the metric is $L^{2,p}$) implies that Ric is bounded in $L^{4,p/2}$ on $B(r_0/2)$. This, together with the fact that the $L^{2,p}$ harmonic radius is bounded below by r_0 implies that the $L^{4,p/2}$ harmonic radius is bounded below by $r_0/2$. It follows that the metric is controlled in $L^{4,p/2}$, and so in $C^{3,\alpha}$ on $B(r_0/2)$. By taking a suitable covering, it follows that the metric is controlled in $C^{3,\alpha}$ on $B(r_0)$. This process is then continued inductively to obtain (3.21). ∎

Finally, we conclude with a remark on the Sobolev inequality. The Sobolev inequality implies a lower bound on the volume ratio of geodesic balls, as in (3.4). As is well-known, the converse is not true in general. This has the following consequence:

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose (M_i, g_i) satisfy hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, with (1.4) replaced by a lower bound on the Sobolev constant c_S. Let V be a limit orbifold of (M_i, g_i), so that any spherical space form S^{n-1}/Γ_j in a cone $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma_j)$ near a singular point $q \in V$ embeds in M_i, for i large.*

If some space-form S^{n-1}/Γ near q bounds a domain $U_i \subset M_i$, then the orbifold singularity q is irreducible, so that $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$ is the only cone at q in V.

Proof: The proof is standard, although we include a proof for completeness. In the following, we assume that i is sufficiently large, but drop the index i from the notation. Since (M, g) is close to V, and S^{n-1}/Γ separates M, one has a decomposition $M = U_1 \cup U_2$, where the union is along a neck region $A(\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$ whose geometry, when scaled by ε^{-1} is close to that of a standard annulus $A(1, 2)$ in a standard cone $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma)$. In particular, $vol A(\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon^n$. Let $v_1 = vol U_1$, $v_2 = vol U_2$ and assume $v_1 \leq v_2$, so that $v_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let f be a function on M with $f = 1$ on $U_1 \setminus A(\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$, $f = 0$ on $U_2 \setminus A(\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$, with $|df| \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$ on $A(\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$. Using f as a test function in the Sobolev inequality (3.1) gives

$$c_S v_1^{(n-2)/n} \leq c\varepsilon^{n-2} + c_S v_1.$$

Since $v_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $v_1 \leq (1/2)^{2/n} v_1^{(n-2)/n}$, and hence one has

$$c_S v_1^{(n-2)/n}(1 - (\tfrac{1}{2})^{2/n}) \leq c\varepsilon^{n-2}.$$

Since ε may be chosen to be arbitrarily small, (for i sufficiently large), v_1 is arbitrarily small, for i sufficiently large. It follows easily that V contains only a single cone at q. ∎

The hypothesis that S^{n-1}/Γ bounds a domain in M (or M_i) is automatically the case if for instance the Betti number $b_{n-1}(M) = 0$, or equivalently $b_1(M) = 0$, by Poincaré duality.

Remark 3.7. A lower bound on the Ricci curvature bound and upper and lower bounds on the volume of (M, g) imply a lower bound on the Sobolev constant, cf. [Cr], [Ga]. Hence, these bounds imply irreducibility of all orbifold limits in Theorem 1.1. On the other hand, Remark 2.9 shows that in general, one may have many cones joined at a single orbifold singularity.

An interesting example illlustrating the fact that S^{n-1}/Γ must bound a domain in Proposition 3.6 is the following. Let $M = M_i = S^{n-1} \times S^1$. There exists a sequence of conformally flat, unit volume Yamabe metrics g_i on M which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and whose scalar curvatures tend to the maximal value S_0 in (3.8), cf. [Ko], [Sc]. Hence, there is a uniform Sobolev inequality on (M, g_i) The limit (V, g_∞) is the round unit volume metric on S^n, with two antipodal points identified $\{q\} \sim \{-q\}$; at this point, one then has an orbifold singularity, consisting of two cones, each a ball, identified at the origin.

§3.2. We now apply the results above to critical metrics for natural functionals on the space of metrics.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Let $\mathcal{C}^+$ be the space of unit volume Bach-flat Yamabe metrics on a given 4-manifold M, with scalar curvature $s_g \geq s_0$. Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.1 if we show that the hypotheses of the latter are satisfied.

First, the non-collapse estimate (1.4) follows from (1.14) and (3.4). The global curvature bound (1.3) follows from the bound (1.15) on the Weyl curvature, together the expression

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M |R|^2 = -7\chi(M) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_M |W|^2 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_M \frac{s^2}{24}, \tag{3.22}$$

valid on any closed 4-manifold (M, g), obtained by combining (3.5)-(3.6). The volume is normalized to 1, and so the scalar curvature satisfies (3.8).

To obtain the small curvature estimate, observe that Bach-flat Yamabe metrics satisfy an equation of the form (3.20). Namely, the Bach equation (1.13) together with the Weitzenbock formula on vector valued 2-forms gives the equivalent equation

$$D^* D Ric + \tfrac{1}{3} D^2 s + \tfrac{1}{6} \Delta s \cdot g + \mathcal{R} = 0, \tag{3.23}$$

where $\mathcal{R} = R * Ric$. This equation is conformally invariant. However, in the Yamabe normalization, $s = const$, so the Hessian and Laplace terms vanish, so that

$$D^* D Ric + \mathcal{R} = 0, \tag{3.24}$$

i.e. (3.20) holds for Bach-flat Yamabe metrics. The small curvature estimate (1.5) is then a consequence of Proposition 3.5. Theorem 1.3 is thus a consequence of Theorem 1.1. ∎

Remark 3.8. With some further work, it can probably be shown that a Bach-flat Yamabe metric extends smoothly (C^∞) over $\{0\}$ in a local uniformization $B^n \setminus \{0\}$ of $C(S^{n-1}/\Gamma) \setminus \{q\}$. By means of a standard cutoff function argument, it is straightforward to see that g is a weak solution of the equation (3.21) on the full ball B^n.

It is clear that Theorem 1.3 also holds if one allows the manifold M to vary, provided, via (3.22), one assumes a uniform lower bound on the Euler characteristic of M. Again, we point out that the L^2 norm $\mathcal{W}$ of W is constant on components of moduli spaces of Bach-flat metrics. Also, by Proposition 3.6, the limit orbifold is necessarily irreducible if $b_1(M) = 0$, for instance if M is simply connected. (Needless to say, Theorem 1.3 also holds for Bach-flat Yamabe metrics of non-positive scalar curvature, provided one assumes the bound (1.4)).

Examples of Bach-flat metrics, besides Einstein metrics, (which are necessarily Yamabe by Obata's theorem, cf. [Be,Ch.4]), are conformally flat metrics, and half-conformally flat metrics. A considerable theory for the existence of half-conformally flat metrics has been developed by Le-Brun, Taubes, and others, cf. [Le], [Ta] and references therein. Theorem 1.3 may be used to study aspects of the moduli spaces of such metrics.

For conformally flat metrics, (3.22) gives an upper bound on L^2 norm of R, depending only on a lower bound for $\chi(M)$. Hence, one has orbifold compactness, just depending on a lower bound for $\chi(M)$. A similar result holds for selfdual (or anti-selfdual) metrics. Thus suppose $W = W^+$, so that $W^- = 0$. Substituting (3.7) in (3.22) then gives, for selfdual metrics,

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M |R|^2 = -7\chi(M) + 12\tau(M) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_M \frac{s^2}{24}. \tag{3.25}$$

Thus, one again has an upper bound on the L^2 norm of curvature, depending only on an upper bound for $-7\chi(M) + 12\tau(M)$.

The formulas (3.5)-(3.7) have analogues for complete, non-compact ALE manifolds, (or orbifolds) (N, g) satisfying the volume growth condition (1.8). All such ALE spaces which arise as blow-up limits of degenerating Bach-flat Yamabe metrics are necessarily scalar-flat. For simplicity, we thus state the formulas for scalar-flat ALE manifolds:

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_N |R|^2 = -7\chi(N) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_N |W|^2 + 7 \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_k|}, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\frac{1}{12\pi^2} \int_N |W^+|^2 - |W^-|^2 = \tau(M) + \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \eta(S^3/\Gamma_k), \tag{3.27}$$

and, in the selfdual case

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_N |R|^2 = -7\chi(N) + 12\tau(N) + 7 \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_k|} + 12 \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \eta(S^3/\Gamma_k). \tag{3.28}$$

Corollary 3.9. *Let g be a complete self-dual, scalar-flat, metric on $\mathbb{R}^4$ satisfying*

$$\int_N |R|^2 < \infty, \quad vol B_x(r) \geq \nu_0 r^4. \tag{3.29}$$

Then g is flat.

Proof: The metric ($\mathbb{R}^4$, g) satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, and hence (3.28) holds. Since in this case $\kappa = 1$, $\chi(\mathbb{R}^4) = 1$, $\tau(\mathbb{R}^4) = 0$ and $\Gamma = \{e\}$, the result follows. An alternate proof is to use (3.27) to see that g is conformally flat. The Liouville theorem implies that a scalar-flat conformally flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^4$ is flat. ∎

This result can be viewed as an analogue of the well-known result, (which follows from (3.7)) that the only self-dual metric on S^4 is the conformal class of the round metric. The condition (3.29) on the volume growth is necessary. For example, the Taub-NUT metric [Be,Ch.13] is a complete finite action selfdual Ricci-flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^4$ which is not flat.

Remark 3.10. Versions of Theorem 1.3 also hold for other natural functionals on the space of metrics. For example, in dimension 4, the Euler-Lagrange equations for the L^2 norms of the full curvature R, the Ricci curvature Ric or the trace-free Ricci curvature z,

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = \int_M |R|^2 dV, \quad \mathcal{R}ic^2 = \int_M |Ric|^2 dV, \quad \mathcal{Z}^2 = \int_M |z|^2 dV, \tag{3.30}$$

are all of the form (3.20), cf. [Be,Ch.4]. Hence, any metric which is a critical point of such a functional satisfies the small curvature estimate (1.5). Such critical metrics also have constant scalar curvature; however, it is unknown if they are Yamabe metrics. Thus, it is not known if the lower volume bound (1.4) follows from a positive lower bound on the scalar curvature, and so (1.4) must be assumed. The bound (1.3) on the L^2 norm of the curvature follows from a bound on any of these functionals via (3.5). Under these hypotheses then, Theorem 1.3 also holds for moduli spaces of critical metrics of the functionals (3.30).

It is an interesting open problem whether Theorem 1.3 can be extended toward an understanding of the degeneration of Bach-flat Yamabe metrics with non-positive scalar curvature. This will necessarily include collapsing families of metrics, in the sense of Cheeger-Gromov, and the formation of limits with cusp-like ends. For Einstein metrics, such a study was carried out in [An4].

References

[Ak]   K. Akutagawa, Convergence for Yamabe metrics of positive scalar curvature with integral bounds on curvature, Pacific Jour. Math. **175**, (1996), 307-335.

[An1] M. Anderson, Ricci curvature bounds and Einstein metrics on compact manifolds, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc., **2**, (1989), 455-490

[An2] M. Anderson, Short geodesics and gravitational instantons, Jour. Diff. Geom., **31**, (1990), 265-275.

[An3] M. Anderson, Convergence and rigidity of manifolds under Ricci curvature bounds, Invent. Math. **97**, (1990), 429-445.

[An4] M. Anderson, The L^2 structure of moduli spaces of Einstein metrics, Geom and Funct. Analysis, **2**, (1992), 29-89.

[AC]   M. Anderson and J. Cheeger, Diffeomorphism finiteness for manifolds with Ricci curvature and $L^{n/2}$-norm of curvature bounded, Geom. and Funct. Analysis, **1**, (1991), 231-252.

[B]   S. Bando, Bubbling out of Einstein manifolds, Tohoku Math. Jour. **42**, (1990), 205-216 and 587-588.

[BKN] S. Bando, A. Kasue and H. Nakajima, On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth, Invent. Math, **97**, (1989), 313-349.

[BL]   D. Barden and H. Le, Some consequences of the nature of the distance function on the cut locus in a Riemannian manifold, Jour. London Math. Soc. **56**, (1997), 369-383.

[Be]   A. Besse, Einstein Manifolds, Springer Verlag, New York, 1987.

[CH]   G. Carron and M. Herzlich, The Huber theorem for non-compact conformally flat manifolds, Comm. Math. Helv. **77**, (2002), 192-220.

[CQY] S-Y. A. Chang, J. Qing and P.C. Yang, Compactification of a class of conformally flat 4-manifold, Invent. Math. **142**, (2000), 65-93.

[C]   J. Cheeger, Finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds, Amer. Jour. Math., **92**, (1970), 61-74.

[CGl] J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll, The splitting theorem for manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature, Jour. Diff. Geom. **6**, (1971), 119-128.

[CG]   J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded I, II, Jour. Diff Geom, **23**, (1986), 309-346, and **32**, (1990), 269-298.

[Cr]   C. Croke, Some isoperimetric inequalities and consequences, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup, **13**, (1980), 419-435.

[Ga]   S. Gallot, Inégalites isopérimetriques, courbure de Ricci et invariants géométriques, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **296**, (1983), 365-368.

[GT]   D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, 2nd Edition, Springer Verlag, New York, (1983).

[GW]   R. Greene and H. Wu, Gap theorems for noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Duke Math. Journal, **49**, (1982), 731-756.

[G]   M. Gromov, Structures Metriques pour les Varietes Riemanniennes, Cedic-Fernand Nathan, Paris (1981).

[IT]   J. Itoh and M. Tanaka, The Lipschitz continuity of the distance function to the cut locus, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353**, (2000), 21-40.

[Ka]   A. Kasue, A Laplacian comparison theorem and function theoretic properties of a complete Riemannian manifold, Japan Jour. Math.**8**, (1982), 309-341.

[Ko]   O. Kobayashi, Scalar curvature of a metric of unit volume, Math. Annalen, **279**, (1987), 253-275.

[Le]   C. LeBrun, Anti-self-dual metrics and Kähler geometry, Proc. Int. Cong. Math. (Zürich 1994), Birkäuser Verlag, Basel, (1995), 498-507.

[Lo]   J. Lott, Manifolds with quadratic curvature decay and fast volume growth, Math. Annalen, **325**, (2003), 525-541.

[PT]   A. Petrunin and W. Tuschmann, Asymptotically flat manifolds and cone structure at infinity, Math. Annalen, **321**, (2001), 775-788.

[Sc]   R. Schoen, Variational theory for the total scalar curvature functional for Riemannian metrics and related topics, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., **1365**, (1987), 120-154.

[SY]   Y. T. Siu and S.T. Yau, Complete Kähler manifolds with nonpositive curvature of faster than quadratic decay, Annals of Math. **105**, (1977), 225-264.

[Ta]   C. Taubes, The existence of anti-self-dual metrics, Jour. Diff. Geom. **36**, (1992), 163-253.

[Th]   W. Thurston, The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds, Vol. 1, Princeton University Press, (1997): see also online version at: http://www.msri.org/ publications/books/gt3m/

[TV]   G. Tian and J. Viaclovsky, Bach-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics, (preprint, Oct. 03), math.DG/0310302.

[TV2] G. Tian and J. Viaclovsky, Moduli spaces of critical Riemannian metrics in dimension four, (preprint, Dec. 03), math.DG/0312318

December, 2003/January, 2004

Department of Mathematics
SUNY at Stony Brook
Stony Brook, NY 11794-3651
anderson@math.sunysb.edu